

# ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300  
Adelaide 5067  
Australia  
Mob: 61+401692057  
Email: [info@adelaideinstitute.org](mailto:info@adelaideinstitute.org)  
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online  
ISSN 1440-9828



May 2015 No 867

**Some of it happened, some of it didn't.**

## **CODOH - Committee for Open Debate On the Holocaust**



### **WE NEED YOUR HELP**

**A New Project at HARVARD UNIVERSITY  
Help me demonstrate how academics at  
Harvard University use evasion and taboo to  
suppress Free Speech on the Holocaust  
Question**

#### **Friend:**

Over the last few weeks I have launched a new Website titled [A light on Campus](#). The purpose is to dedicate the entire site to one specific issue: The Campus Project. While I have addressed university audiences for years now, this is the first time that I, or any of us, have devoted a full Web site exclusively to taking revisionist work to the American campus and addressing the role of academics in suppressing it.

Our first campaign using A Light on Campus is to engage one of the "top 100 intellectuals in the world," Professor Steven Pinker at Harvard University. Steven Pinker is an experimental psychologist, cognitive scientist, linguist, and popular science author. He is a Johnstone Family Professor in the Department of Psychology at Harvard and is known for his advocacy of evolutionary psychology and the computational theory of mind.

Professor Pinker lives on an intellectual plane rather above my own. How is it possible for someone like me to challenge someone like him about anything? Well, let's see.

I watched Professor Pinker speak (via [YouTube](#)) at the 15th anniversary celebration of FIRE, The Foundation for Individual Rights in Education. FIRE is an organization that does good work and one I follow. Pinker gave a clear, principled address on Free Speech. Afterwards it occurred to me to wonder what Professor Pinker had to say about Free Speech during the scandal I caused on the Harvard campus back in 2009. I had placed a one-column, two-inch-ad in the Harvard Crimson that in a tiny font included the question:

"Dwight D. Eisenhower published his Crusade in Europe in 1948. General Eisenhower did not mention the German gas chambers in which it was claimed that millions of Jews and others were exterminated. Why not?"

Publication of this tiny ad created a scandal at Harvard that went ballistic on New England campuses and was covered by wire services here and in Europe (you can review the story [here](#)). The ad ran one time when it was

deleted from The Crimson amid cries of outrage from the usual perps. Now it occurred to the brain to look back to 2009 and see what Pinker's reaction was to this Free Speech issue. I found nothing. It appears that in 2009 Professor Pinker had a less generous attitude toward Free Speech than he does now.

I did find something it had not occurred to me to even wonder about. Professor Pinker deals relentlessly with issues of violence and history, but ever so rarely mentions the word "Holocaust" and then only in passing. The words "Auschwitz" and "gas chambers" appear to be missing from his vocabulary. He typically avoids the word "genocide" when it refers to Jews. I cannot account for his every word, he's got a million of 'em, but that is the very clear pattern. He is determined to stay away from the Holocaust question. Why?

I will have to speculate. Privately, Steven Pinker understands that the received account of the Holocaust is indefensible. If he says so, he will be ruined. At the same time, if he goes on record supporting the story, he will be humiliated by revisionists. So Professor Pinker has chosen to evade with silence the ideals of Free Speech about which he lectures with such eloquent directness.

This Project is on the go. We will reveal, and publicize, Pinker's evasive behavior with regard to Free Speech and the Holocaust question. We will illustrate that his behavior represents that of the Harvard faculty in general, and that of academics on campuses across America. We have sent thousands of emails to students and academics on the Harvard campus and within days we will up the ante considerably.

One major difference in the work today is that, unlike how it was in 2009 and before, we are not limited to trying to publish ads in student newspapers. We now have the benefits of very large email lists and a unique ability to distribute them. In addition, we have our new Homepage, A Light on Campus, which will become the growing foundation for all we do.

This letter is to ask for your support. The ADL-HILLEL conglomerate at Harvard and every other campus has a bottomless source of revenue on their side, along with powerful lobbies and all mainline media. I have supporters like you who help make this work happen, and a concept for carrying out the Project that is original and (he says) imaginative.

I feel I have to mention the following. You may or may not be aware of the fact that I have been struggling with lymphatic cancer since 2008, going in and out of chemotherapy and so on. The chemo was starting to produce negative side effects so I was removed from that program. After some four months I am now receiving radiation treatments five days a week. I have to be driven north across the frontier each morning to receive

the treatment, then driven back again. The up and back takes some five hours daily.

I have to keep my attention on two things: getting enough rest, and focusing on Harvard and the good Professor Steven Pinker as symbols for academia all across America. I can do this, I will do it, but I need your help. I have expenses now that I did not have last year. I need to raise extra funds. The goal is to raise \$7, 000 dollars extra to help get me through these next months. I have three part-time employees, a house, a family, and (in my case) unusual Web expenses.

I truly hope you can contribute. What we make happen at Harvard will symbolize for all to see how academics at campuses all over America evade and betray their responsibilities to maintain a Free Press and Free Speech. Your donations are my one source of income (other than my social security check). Please pitch in. At the same time, if you cannot contribute, and I know some of you cannot, my best wishes.

Thank you. - Bradley

Please take a moment to [Contribute](#)  
**CONTACT:**

**Bradley R Smith, Founder**  
**CODOH**  
**POB 439016**  
**San Ysidro, CA. 92143**

<http://www.alightoncampus.com/>  
[bradley1930@yahoo.com](mailto:bradley1930@yahoo.com)

[A Personal History of Moral Decay](#) by Bradley R. Smith  
"Like Camus' Mersault confronting a howling mob, or Becketts' Malone dying alone, Bradley Smith is at last content with his life. This collection of his writing gives the reader the opportunity to learn how a man at the center of so much controversy and outright hatred can find the air so much sweeter just exactly right there."  
James J. O'Meara in [Counter-Currents Publishing](#)  
[Smith's Blog](#)

[Inconvenient History : A Quarterly Journal](#)

---

## UK Election 2015

[Francis Carr Begbie](#), May 9, 2015

Now that the smoke has cleared somewhat after Britain's election there is one result that should be absorbed by anyone who cares about the future of the West. The Labour MP for Rotherham, a woman who presided over a vast child rape epidemic and noticed nothing, was [re-elected](#) with a substantially increased majority.

After months of lurid media coverage, after the exposure of the local Labour establishment, the resignation of the entire Labour council, the sacking of [Labour-appointed](#) culpable officials, when no-one in the entire local Labour establishment could [pretend they did not know](#) what was going on, Sarah Champion was still able to pull in nearly twice as much as her closest rival, a UKIP candidate.

This is a woman who [consorts](#) with [Muslim politicians who still deny](#) the child rape epidemic. Her only response was to say that [White men are the main culprits](#) nationwide.

For those who say that the only thing between us and a White awakening is a free media, it is a fact worth pondering over for a moment.

But we also need to bear in mind the precariousness of White working class life in northern towns like Rotherham where White communities have been devastated by unemployment, blighted by single-parent households are the most demoralising places you could imagine. There is none of the ethnic cohesion and family life you see in Muslim areas.

Most White people live a "pay as you go" life where you earn money to pay the next week's rent and that is it. These communities are run on welfare-ism by an overseer class of public sector bureaucrats who have as physically little to do with their clients as possible.

It is this overseer class that the Labour Party now serves. A devastating article on the death of the [Labour Party](#) and how it now belongs to chancers like Sarah Champion, appears in Spiked-online by Brendan O'Neill.

A website comment mentions some truths that are nowhere to be found in the mainstream media coverage:

But surely what this vote might also indicate is that Labour is also now largely propped up by the votes of ethnic minorities from the Commonwealth and more recent arrivals from Africa and Eastern Europe. In fact couldn't it be said that Labour is now, not just the party of the middle class liberal left, but also, working class ethnic minorities. Hence it's strong support in London and parts of Yorkshire and Lancashire. Those results couldn't have been achieved purely on the backs of media luvvies, teachers and social workers. Odd that you don't raise that possibility. It ties in with my own suspicion that Labour has come to represent ethnic minorities through its close ties to

Multiculturalism, while quietly abandoning its old core voters among the indigenous English working class.

For the Jewish community it was, of course, win-win, whatever happened. Two of Labour's victories were hailed as good news by [Israel supporters](#) in the other parties! Both defeated MPs were in the largely Muslim city of Bradford in Yorkshire and both were defiantly anti-Zionist.

One was George Galloway, a veteran Marxist and longstanding supporter of the Palestinian cause. He has never held back from his opinions about Israel. He seems to hate Israel almost as much as he hates White self-determination.

The second is David Ward, a Liberal Democrat MP, who has long been an indefatigable thorn in the side the Jewish community in the UK for his vocal condemnation of Israel's actions. The silencing of these two anti-Israel voices has brought a [lot of satisfaction to the Jewish](#) community.

So what is the Jewish attitude towards UKIP. Distinctly hostile is the answer. *A Jewish Chronicle* survey said that only 2 per cent planned to vote for them and this article in [Haaretz](#) seems to confirm why.

There was a UKIP Friends of Israel and even baffling Jewish candidates like [Jack Shamash](#) who seems to have spent most of his life in Israel, and is frank that it is Islam that is his pre-occupation. In the MEP elections in February, there was even an orthodox Jewish UKIP candidate in Manchester who refused to [shake hands with women](#)! Far more importantly there was also a donation of £1 million from Richard Desmond, the publisher of the *Daily Express*. This may be the reason why the contours of free speech are just as sharply defined with UKIP as with every other party.

This was discovered by another UKIP candidate [Jack Sen](#) the hard way.

He gave an uncompromising [interview](#) in which he revealed his own feelings about international financial power.

It was all coded but no matter. No sooner had his words been published than young Jack found himself [unceremoniously](#) sacked as an official UKIP candidate to his utter bewilderment. (He still stood and got more than 6000 votes in West Lancashire, matching UKIP's average vote nationally.)

Reading his interview, it is clear that if he had known the meaning of "controlled opposition" then he would have known exactly how far he can go.

Eighty per cent of Conservative MPs were members of Conservative Friends of Israel before the election and it looks as if the percentage of the new intake might be even higher. There are shoals of them.

Typical is Lucy Allan, the new MP for Telford — she [visited Israel in November 2013](#) at a cost to CFI of £2,000. Candidates Christopher Green, Bolton West and Royston Smith, both now MPs, were also on this all-expenses-paid trip. Strangely though, no details of any of this are on their political websites.

Being elected as Conservative MP for the safe Tory seat of Hampstead and Kilburn was an appropriate reward for Jewish candidate Simon Marcus, who was also on that CFI trip. At the last election Simon Marcus had stood as a Conservative candidate in Barking and Dagenham which had become a BNP stronghold with a dozen councillors elected.

Despite being a Conservative, Marcus worked closely with another Jewish candidate, Labour's Margaret Hodge, to defeat the BNP in 2010. Having already won 12 council seats in Barking and Dagenham, the BNP had high hopes for Nick Griffin standing there. But together with a huge effort from central government, trade unions, widespread street intimidation and voter fraud, they managed to marginalize the largest working class White nationalist vote that London had ever seen. Again there is not a word of any of this on his political website this time around but he has **boasted about this** to his own people.

Despite the loss of Nigel Farage and a daily media cannonade of slime and bureaucratic interference, UKIP have now crept into position as Britain's third biggest party with 13% of the popular vote. Given that the previous third biggest party — the Lib Dems — were part of the last coalition government, that is a real achievement.

The strategy of making gains at 2020 now looks very viable. In most, formerly solid Labour, northern towns they are comfortably established as Labour's main rival. If Labour's support on the White working class continues to disintegrate then it will be UKIP which benefit.

The only problem is that Britain's first past the post system means that can never translate into more than two or three seats until they get more than 20%. The main reason for this system is that it excludes "extremist" parties. So it means five more years of mass immigration. And five more years to gerrymander the next election.

#### 12 Comments to "UK Election 2015"

You can follow all the replies to this entry through the [comments feed](#)

**Director May 9, 2015 - 5:57 pm | [Permalink](#)**

The Tory party is the default white party in the UK now. Or at least in England.

I feel for the working class there. They've been hit from a deregulated Thatcherite (a front for Old Estonians) Conservatives who dismantled their working culture. Then Labour got Jungle Book Fever and elected a new people. Even Farage couldn't quite figure out to reach them, or refused to speak for them at least.

**Director May 9, 2015 - 5:52 pm | [Permalink](#)**

3 million UKIP = 1 seat

3 million SNP= \_\_\_\_seats....

This tells you all you need to know about the British system.

Not that the American system is any better in the long run.

**Richard Cley May 9, 2015 - 5:02 pm | [Permalink](#)**

This is the worst result possible: Cameron with a working majority. Ukip demolished indigenous support for Labour and the Nationalist elites fell in to line and supported Ukip rather than exposing them for the banker's darlings they actually are.

The new BNP management (sans Nick Griffin) proved what a spineless incompetent Establishment puppet party they are by obediently staying out of the fight. Their ridiculous boast about not being in debt was pathetic.

Political parties exist to fight elections. Britain First, British Unity, The British Voice and various recent newcomers all failed to field candidates. All nationalists had to vote for was a bunch of civic nationalists fielded by Ukip and the BNP.

And now we face the horror of 2020. We need a completely new Nationalist political force that is more mature than National Action or the New Union of British Fascism, that is more relevant than the BNP or Ukip, that leaves the British Movement and New Dawn standing in their tracks.

In 15 years Vladimir Putin rebuilt Russia and Nick Griffin destroyed British Nationalism. We need new hands, new inspiration and new leadership.

The path ahead is narrow, stoney and full of pitfalls. We need a new star to guide us...the old gods have failed...someone somewhere is struggling to be heard, to lead, to make Nationalism strong again.

**Adrian Davies May 9, 2015 - 4:41 pm | [Permalink](#)**

"Being elected as Conservative MP for the safe Tory seat of Hampstead and Kilburn was an appropriate reward for Jewish candidate Simon Marcus"

Er, not it wasn't, Marcus lost the seat to the Labour candidate, the marvellously named Tulip Siddiqui! An unfortunate error by your correspondent, to say the least.

**Rosa May 9, 2015 - 1:24 pm | [Permalink](#)**

I'd like to know how voted the minorities. That may explain some strange results, such as in Rotherham. However, thanks to God, Milliband is out. I've watched some minutes of his last speech on TV, and I thought: "he's not normal, he's on medications".

So, it could end much worse.

Another thought for English readers: the polls suggested a head-to-head between Tories and Labour. Perhaps many potential UKIP voters among dissatisfied Tories have decided at the last minute to vote again for Cameron in order not to risk a Milliband's victory.

Rosa, Milan

**Mari May 9, 2015 - 12:25 pm | [Permalink](#)**

*Revisionist*

Loved you comment. Bravo

One thing about the British working class. If, if they had had what is called a decent family living wage from about 1700 to 1914 as the French, Austrian and German working classes did they would not have been so eager to join the labor- welfare-benefit-council housing party.

Because Jewish control of the money supply from circa 1695 kept wages so low, there was no family living wage in England. After labour got in and instituted the reforms of 1945 workers still didn't earn a family living wage.

What they got was state welfare dependency, council housing, child benefit and all the other welfare instead of decent wages.

In the 1980's coal miners earned the same weekly wage as middle class university students got for spending money, 14 pounds a week. But the miners got the full panoply of welfare council housing, child benefit old age benefit, et etc etc.

**Mari May 9, 2015 - 12:12 pm | [Permalink](#)**

When I think of what my long term Jewish congress critter Henry Waxman and my long term city council critter another Jew did to help the local community with local issues large and small; words can't express my disgust for Sarah Champion, the local officials who turned over local girls to muslim rapists and the country of England.

Los Angeles both city and county has a huge welfare class of blacks and Hispanics and tens of thousands of kids in foster homes and group homes. But if anyone goes to police and reports sexual molestation of these girls or girls living with parents the men are charged and arrested.

From kindergarten through 12th grade the schools have programs about molestation and REPORT IT TO YOUR TEACHER OR AN ADULT.

Teachers are trained to suspect and report. I know one teacher in an expensive private school. A first grader slept through class day after day. Turned out her father kept her up all night for sex. Child now lives with a grandmother, no men in the household. Father was a TV star by the way, someone with the money to put up an expensive defense.

The mother went along with it. The brother was much younger. Had the teacher not been trained to know that excessive sleepiness in class was a sign something is wrong at home it might have gone on for years.

In fact, in all American states it is a crime for any teacher, social worker, coach, day care worker, recreation parks employee, tutor, bus driver, sports program worker; who learns of child molestation not to report it to police within 24 hours.

And the police and District attorneys don't care who does the molesting. The molester of course gets a free attorney if he claims he can't afford one.

In America the molester does not have to be convicted. There are very experienced police, therapists and others who can tell if a child is lying or telling the truth.

But of course if the majority of voters are muslims; both men and women who think it is perfectly acceptable for muslim men to rape and torture non muslim children what could anyone expect but that Sarah Champion would be re elected.

As I understand it, in England the MPs have a lot more jurisdiction and influence in local affairs than our congress critters do here in America.

What with most of the population in N England living in council housing I doubt the Whites can move away and have any assurance of ever getting housing again.

It's a lot like medieval Spain and the Balkans until 1870 jews and muslims enslaving Christians.

Americans should thank God or whatever you believe in that we are swamped with Latin Americans instead of muslims.

**Mr Curious May 9, 2015 - 10:42 am | [Permalink](#)**

Not surprised Rotherham re-elected Sarah Champion; northerners are idiots. If you don't believe me, try watching more than 10 minutes of rugby league

ps. Professor MacDonald, Roosh did a sympathetic article about you: it's the most popular and commented article on Return Of Kings.

<http://www.returnofkings.com/62716/the-damaging-effects-of-jewish-intellectualism-and-activism-on-western-culture>

**Jack Burton May 9, 2015 - 9:47 am | [Permalink](#)**

There's no England anymore.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CslhNxzwK1Y>

Seems all the good Brits are either long dead or they emigrated.

When I watch British talk shows the absolute stupidity of the average Brit is astonishing, even worse than Americans IMO.

It seems there really is no opposition in Britain, all of their media is like MSNBC here, a total leftist echo chamber. Interracialism is particularly aggressive and out of control in Britain.

"The Labour MP for Rotherham, a woman who presided over a vast child rape epidemic and noticed nothing, was re-elected with a substantially increased majority."

"Her only response was to say that White men are the main culprits nationwide."

Couldn't be much worse than that. If the people have no self-respect and no will to fight there's not much to be done.

One thing's for certain, the start of the revolution if there is to be one won't be in Britain. They've allowed themselves to be disarmed physically and mentally and they boast about it. Can't tell you how many Brits I've talked to that brag they don't have guns and chide Americans for having the means to defend themselves.

**Mike May 9, 2015 - 9:31 a m | [Permalink](#)**

Thanks for posting this. The Wiki manages to make little Miss Champion sound as though she cared. See

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sarah\\_Champion\\_\(politician\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sarah_Champion_(politician))

Andrew Neil brought out her evasion, her determination to ignore reality. Does her collusion amount to Conspiracy to Pervert the Course of Justice? An honest government would be happy to prosecute the perpetrators.

**Rerevisionist May 9, 2015 - 9:20 am | [Permalink](#)**

Good piece. But I think commentators generally are still under-rating the influence of Jews. In my opinion Labour was Jewish right from the start. The LSE ('School of Economics') was set up as Jewish, with Sidney 'Webb' indefatigably working and avoiding 'currency cranks' (i.e. anyone pointing out Jewish money power) and issues of the 'competent receiver' (i.e. anyone wondering if Jews were suitable to take over British assets). Funding could not have been by the poorest in the days before social security, or by ordinary people with no bank accounts and no real way to pay weekly dues. However there was scope for funding by Jews, in particular to get Jews into media and academic positions; and to get patsies into similar positions; and to fund thugs. The funding coming from inflation, later. Looking back over the 115 years or so, and two world wars and of course many other wars, the 'Labour' Party gave full value to Jews. It supported all Jewish frauds, usually with violence, from the Boer Wars right through to World War 2 and its hoaxes, to 9/11 and Iraq and Afghanistan. Probably the trick was done by Jewish control of money: all that was needed was a proportion of it siphoned off yearly to their causes, 'think tanks', pressure groups and what have you. The semi-middle classes - which you still see at elections: old clowns in pullovers, excitable ugly women - were paid off with pseudo-occupations (and sent their kids to expensive schools); and the workers were controlled by Jew-funded trade unionists, who of course put Britain and its workers last. I do hope this deception is coming to an end. (The BNP has a piece 'Mission Accomplished' claiming that their leafletting aimed against Labour had sufficient impact to remove Miliband. I don't know if that's true (as Begbie points out, one of the chief evildoers was re-elected) but something is happening, at long last. The potential 'Labour Party' 'leaders' are as dim a bunch as is imaginable; I was pleased that (for example) Jack Straw's son wasn't elected, or a former 'immigration minister'. 'If you want a Muslim for a neighbour, vote Labour' might be a modern slogan.

**Peter May 9, 2015 - 9:09 am | [Permalink](#)**

Excellent analysis of the election. UKIP has been very careful to convey that it is "non racist" and pro Israel however that has not stopped the mass media demonising the party, because their membership and voters are not so keen on the virtues of our wonderful plutocratic state.

The SNP were able to wipe out the Labour party in Scotland and the union looks even more doubtful. The UKIP have a solid base to do the same in the north of England if they can find a credible leader and attract more able candidates.

As to why the polls were wrong and why Labour lost, the biggest unmentionable factor was the Ed Miliband was seen as "not one of us", and that was helped by his swarthy features. His background is one of eastern European Jewry and in the privacy of the polling booth people could do as they think without fear.

<http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2015/05/uk-election-2015/>

## Neo-Nazis try to storm Reichstag on anniversary of Nazi Germany's capitulation



**POLINA GARAEV, May 09th 2015, 08:29pm**

**German authorities describe demonstrators as 'right-wing extremists belonging to the hooligan scene'**

A group of far-right activists on Saturday tried to "storm" Germany's Reichstag building on the day the world marks the 70th anniversary of Nazi Germany's capitulation. The demonstration was approved by the Interior Ministry despite harsh criticism from left-wing parties and organizations, which protested the rally's "inappropriate" timing.

Far-right activists began their march at 13:00 GMT from Berlin Central Train Station, a few hundred meters north of the

German parliament building, but counter-demonstrators assembled an hour earlier on the three bridges connecting both meeting points.

Police blocked all three bridges connecting the two sides and prevented leftist activists from reaching the other side. "You look like a leftist activist, you may not enter," said a young policeman to a young man who tried to insist he was a simply a tourist.

German authorities described the demonstrators as "right-wing extremists belonging to the hooligan scene." They also confirmed that one of the event organizers is a known far-right National Democratic Party (NPD) activist. More than 35,000 people said they would participate in the day's events via social media, but police said that only around 200-250 actually attended.



Some 20 protesters tried to storm the Reichstag from the other side, behind police lines but were stopped without difficulty.



### Demonstrations outside the Reichstag on 70th anniversary of fall of Nazi Germany, May 9, 2015

Several Facebook events were created for the demonstration, some of them calling to "storm the Reichstag" and overthrow the government.

"The Merkel regime and the federal government need to go," a statement for one of the events read, while another called for "1,000,000 voices against the Islamization and Americanization of Europe."

The demonstration's official slogan was: "Together for Germany. For homeland, for freedom, and for the preservation of German culture." On their website, the organizers declared that "we are against any radicalism and extremism. No Islamism, no Zionism, no violence." Despite the militant comments linked to the website, security agencies emphasized they had no reason to assume the event would be anything other than peaceful.

"This is unacceptable to anyone who cares for a society free of racism, even though these statements are totally absurd," stressed the organizers of the counter-demonstration, an alliance of the Green party, the Left, and several anti-racism organizations. Their spokeswoman, Nina Baumgartner, promised before the event that "we will do everything possible to prevent this provocation from the neo-Nazis, PEGIDA members, and supporters of reactionary conspiracy theories."

"A neo-Nazi rally in front of the Reichstag, precisely on the 70th anniversary of the liberation from fascism, is an unreasonable demand for any freedom-loving people," Baumgartner insisted. Leonie Wunder, holding an Israeli flag told *i24news*: "We brought it to piss off the Nazis, but they a minority here. Most are normal people, who want to show solidarity. Europe is at war in Russia because that's what Obama wants, but we don't see the Russians as our enemy. The fact that our government wouldn't let the night wolf come here simply to lay flowers on the grave of their fathers is completely absurd."

"Some Nazis who saw us with the flag stared at us and mumbled quietly 'shit Jews,' but on the other side it's even worse," Wunder said. On the other bank, occupied by leftists, there was no room for them. "They are even more anti-Semitic," Wunder said. "They call us racists, spit at us and throw bottles." The far-right activists also included in their list of speakers a member of the Russian "Night Wolves" biker gang, which despite many attempts from European authorities to block them, managed to complete their 15-day journey from Moscow to Berlin. Only about 20 Russian nationalists reached the German capital after several were denied entry to Poland, Austria, and Germany, which labeled them as dangerous individuals.



### Demonstrations outside the Reichstag on 70th anniversary of fall of Nazi Germany, May 9, 2015

Trying to retrace the Soviet army's route during World War II, they rode waving Russian and other nationalistic flags in support of separatist forces in Ukraine. Their first stop Saturday in Berlin was the Soviet War Memorial in Treptower Park, where they participated in the official wreath-laying ceremony. They then proceeded in a motorcade through the city until they joined the right-wing demonstrators.

German media reported that earlier they met with the leader of the Islamophobic PEGIDA movement, Lutz Bachmann. The motorcycle club's president Alexander Saldostanow is considered to be a close friend of Russian President Vladimir Putin.

In total, there were 27 demonstrations taking place in Berlin on Saturday, with 1,000 policemen securing them.

#### End of war or Liberation Day?

On Friday, the German parliament held a special session intended to mark "a day that stands for a new beginning, and the double liberation from war and Nazism." Yet the majority of Germans remained indifferent to the date and most of the newspapers devoted their headlines to the British election.

"The government and the mass media don't like to celebrate this date as the liberation day, they speak of the end of war, even though this day symbolizes much more," Stefan Natke said of the German Communist Party (DKP), one of the few organizations that still treat the day as cause for celebration. "But people here don't want to look at the past," he added, "they are taught only to look forward."

Although the Communists weren't the only ones to take part in the day's festivities, most of the unofficial events were organized by leftist movements. One such celebration took place at a youth center in the Köpenick borough of Berlin, an initiative of the anti-fascism group Chili[tk].

"Many young people do not know what happened on the 8th of May," the activists told *i24news*. "There's no official holiday or celebration."

"We don't have a culture of remembering this day," they explained, "and that's a shame considering its importance. The 'new German identity', which is promoted among the the young generation, allows them to distance themselves from 'negative remembrance' linked to German history, especially the time of National Socialism. The German government needs to do more than give speeches to commemorate this day. People need to remember that Nazis still pose a problem in this country. The fight against racism and anti-Semitism is not over yet."

**Polina Garaev is the *i24news* correspondent in Germany.**

<http://www.i24news.tv/en/news/international/europe/70549-150509-neo-nazis-storm-the-reichstag>

From: [powermax@gmx.com](mailto:powermax@gmx.com) Sent: Sunday, 10 May 2015 12:39 AM


Zigeuner-Bettler schwängern 13-Jährige - U-Haft

Subject: Bettler-Paradies Salzburg

Nach dem Prinzip „wo Tauben sind, fliegen Tauben zu“ gilt inzwischen die Bettlerszene in Salzburg als touristische Attraktion auf der ganzen Welt. Sogar in Reisebüros in Australien werden „all inclusive Package-Tours“ mit garantiert bettelnden Zigeunern angeboten. Für stilgerechte Unterhaltungen beim Betteln, sorgen bereits echte staatlich alimentierte Zigeunerbarone. Die schwangere 13-jährige konnte aber keine Angaben machen wer der biologische Vater ihres Kindes sei- Sie meinte einer ihrer Brüder, der Onkel oder der Vater könnte es auch gewesen sein. Jungfrauen unter den

Zigeunern gibt es ja nur wenn die Mädchen schneller laufen können als ihre besoffenen männlichen Familienmitglieder. Sie wäre aber sehr glücklich, meinte die 13-jährige, in Österreich zu sein, denn hier zahlt der Staat nicht nur alle Sozialleistungen wie Krankenhaus, biologische Babynahrung, sondern auch die Alimente für die Kinder und von denen möchte sie noch 10 haben. Ich bin ja noch jung und gebärfreudig, lachte sie. Mit einigen weiteren Kindern, jede Menge Sozialleistungen und schöner Wohnung lässt es sich doch ganz gut leben in diesem Land, meinte die strahlende Jungmutter. Und Flitterwochen

werden, dank des guten Einkommens meines Mannes, in der Karibik und nicht mehr unter der Brücke verbracht. Mit Musik bettelt es sich viel leichter und Touristen werden spendabel. Und Salzburg fördert verstärkt den Jedermann-Zigeuner-Tourismus.



Auf Grund einer Umfrage in der Salzburger Bevölkerung, auf Antrag der Grünen und des österreichischen Tourismusverbandes wurde Wunsch auf besonderen, internationalen Schutz des Zigeunerbetteln gestellt – und auch gewährt. Das Betteln rumänischer Zigeuner in Salzburg wurde jetzt unter Jubel des Volkes zum Weltkulturerbe der UNESCO ernannt.

**PS:** Selbstverständlich wurde gegen die Krone von grün eingefärbten Links-Extremisten eine Strafanzeige wegen Rassismus erhoben. Der unvorsichtige Journalist erwähnte blöderweise die Staatsangehörigkeit des Mädchens. Die rumänische Botschaft protestierte. Daraufhin wurde der Redakteur politisch korrekt geteert, gefedert und mit Zigeunermusik im Hintergrund am Scheiterhaufen verbrannt.

**Krone:** Ein Bettler ist wegen des Vorwurfs des schweren sexuellen Missbrauchs einer 13-Jährigen in der Stadt Salzburg in Untersuchungshaft genommen worden. Wie die Polizei am Mittwoch mitteilte, hätten die Eltern des Mädchens das Kind vor Kurzem in die Obhut des 22-Jährigen gegeben und seien damit einverstanden gewesen, dass es mit dem Mann in Salzburg bettelt. Die Mutter des Teenagers hätte zudem auch einem Geschlechtsverkehr mit dem 22-Jährigen zugestimmt: Die 13-Jährige ist im zweiten Monat schwanger.



Eine Polizeistreife hatte die 13-Jährige – eine rumänische Staatsangehörige – am 28. April in einem Geschäft in Salzburg beim Stehlen von Lebensmitteln erwischt. Als sie befragt wurde, erzählte das Mädchen den Beamten ihre schockierende Geschichte. Vor etwa eineinhalb Monaten sei sie mit dem ihr versprochenen Mann und dessen Vater nach Salzburg gereist, um dort zu betteln. Gemeinsam mit anderen Bittstellern hätten sie unter einer Brücke übernachtet. Sie sei nun im zweiten Monat schwanger, sagte die unmündige Minderjährige.

**"Steht fest, dass das Mädchen schwanger ist"**

Die 13-Jährige erzählte der Polizei, dass sie von ihren Eltern vor etwa vier Monaten in ihrer Heimat in die Obhut des 22-Jährigen

übergeben worden war. Gleich beim ersten Kennenlernen sei es zum Geschlechtsverkehr gekommen. Anfangs habe sie sich schwergetan, Gefühle für den Mann zu entwickeln, der ihr eigentlich unbekannt war. Doch nach etwa zwei Monaten habe sich das gelegt, schilderte sie den Ermittlern.

"Es steht fest, dass das Mädchen schwanger ist", sagte Michael Rausch, der Sprecher der Landespolizeidirektion Salzburg. Der 22-Jährige habe die Schilderungen des Mädchens auch nicht in Abrede gestellt. Er habe gemeint, es wäre normal, mit seiner Frau Geschlechtsverkehr zu haben, er sei sich keiner Schuld bewusst. Der Mann wurde am 2. Mai in die Justizanstalt Salzburg gebracht.

**Jugendamt: "Sagte, sie geht mit uns nicht mit"**

"Das Mädchen ist derzeit in der Obhut des Vaters des Verhafteten", sagte Rausch. Wo es sich derzeit aufhalte, wisse man nicht. Das Jugendamt des Magistrats Salzburg sei über den Fall informiert worden. "Wir kennen sie seit mehreren Wochen", sagte der leitende Sozialarbeiter im Magistrat, Wolfgang Valenta. Man habe ihr eine Unterkunft in einer Kriseneinrichtung angeboten, doch das Mädchen habe das massiv abgelehnt. "Sie sagte, sie geht mit uns nicht mit." Wenn die 13-Jährige die Hilfe verweigere, "können wir leider nichts machen. Für uns ist das sehr schwierig", so Valenta.

Da in solchen Fällen die Jugendlichen in offenen Einrichtungen untergebracht würden, könnten sie diese auch verlassen, erklärte der Sozialarbeiter. Das Jugendamt setze keine Zwangsmaßnahmen, deshalb müsse die Entscheidung der 13-Jährigen zur Kenntnis genommen werden, solange sie im psychiatrischen Sinn nicht selbstgefährdend sei. "Wir können nur unsere Hilfe anbieten, was wir sehr gerne tun. Wenn das Mädchen wieder aufgegriffen wird, werden wir erneut das Angebot machen."

**Teenager mit 22-Jährigem verheiratet?**

Ob die 13-Jährige mit dem 22-jährigen Mann verheiratet wurde, stehe aus Ermittlersicht noch nicht fest, so der Polizeisprecher. Zwar soll die Minderjährige einen Zettel bei sich gehabt haben, auf dem die Heirat mit dem Mann bestätigt war. Ob es sich tatsächlich um eine amtliche Bestätigung aus Rumänien handelt, wird aber noch ermittelt. Auch werde mit den dortigen Behörden abgeklärt, inwieweit die Causa in Rumänien strafrechtlich relevant ist. Grundsätzlich sei dort ein Geschlechtsverkehr mit Unmündigen ebenfalls strafbar.

[http://www.krone.at/Oesterreich/Bettler\\_22\\_schwaengerte\\_13jaehrige\\_UHaftEltern\\_einverstanden-Story-451965](http://www.krone.at/Oesterreich/Bettler_22_schwaengerte_13jaehrige_UHaftEltern_einverstanden-Story-451965)


\*\*\*\*\*

## Die ewige Holokeule

Speziell an Tagen wie der 27. Jänner oder/und 8. Mai wird das deutsch-österreichische Slavenvolk, genauer gesagt das Idios, immer wieder daran erinnert, dass sie es vor rund 100 Jahren wagten (WK I) sich gegen die Götter zu erheben. Und ausserdem hatten sie dann noch die Frechheit vor 80 Jahren gehabt (aber nur für wenige Augenblicke in der Geschichte der Menschheit) den Nachfahren eines mythologischen Abrahams und den selbsternannte Götter, ans Bein zu pinkeln. Mythologisch schon deshalb, weil die Legende des jüdischen Urvaters oder Propheten wie Abraham oder Moses wie so vieles in der „Religion“ der Juden, anderen Ländern, Völkern und Kulturen gestohlen und plagiatisiert wurde. Aber Ehre wem Ehre gebührt. Obwohl auch Einstein seine Relativitäts-Theorie grösstenteils anderen Wissenschaftlern gestohlen hatte, sind die Juden ein Erfindervolk. Kaum eine Massenvernichtungswaffen oder tödliche Seuchen/Impfungen, die nicht von Juden „erfunden“ wurden. Und trotzdem wird Merkel nicht müde, die Liebe zu dieser Rasse einzufordern. Offenbar wurde die pathologisch kranke „Götterverehrung“ dem homo sapiens schon bei der Klonung (vor 10.000 Jahren?) in das Erbmaterial eingepflanzt. Die „göttlichen“ Juden hatten also nicht die geringsten Probleme, die Rangfolge oder Hackordnung zwischen den dominanten Göttern und Sklaven wiederzubeleben oder herzustellen. Aber dann erscheint eines Tages eine auserwählte Tempelpriesterin des Satans und verkündet vollmundig: „... man müsse doch seine Götter lieben“.



Aber trotz massivster Gehirnwäsche gibt es Sklaven die noch immer nicht ihre eigenen Sch(I)ächter lieben. Und wie man in einem Video sehen konnte, es waren trotzdem tausende Gehirntote, die begeistert ihren Metzker liebten und das eigene Vernichtungsprogramm beklatschten. Nicht einmal die zur Schlachtbank geführten Rinder akzeptieren ihr Schicksal als Döner Kebap. Und für alle jene, die sich nicht in den Staub wälzen, kriechen und schleimen wollten, schwang Merkel & Co. gleich ihre Wunderwaffe, die Holo-Keule. Hatten nicht auch die biblischen Juden in Kanaan und Judea ihre ausserirdisch-göttlichen „Wunderwaffen“ und spezielle Feuer/Steinschleudern gegen ihre Feinde? Und heute ist es eben die Holokeule, die jeder „deutsche“ Politiker schwingt, auch wenn er kein Deutscher ist. Hier z.B. der Jude Helmut Schmidt und die (jüdisch-christliche?) Gauckler-Kreatur Gauck



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5RNWWb6304Q>

Millionen deutsche Schlafschafe wurden, wie jedes Jahre, auch 2015 belehrt, man müsse die Juden, also unser Götter ehren und lieben. Aber wie kann ein normaler Mensch göttliche Kriegsverbrecher und Massenmörder lieben? Merkel und Co. verarschen mit ihren Aussagen und Forderungen Millionen Menschen, die aber der irrigen Meinung sind, dass in Israel ein jüdisches Volk herrscht. Der Geist Israels ist aber bis heute allgegenwärtig. Wir zitieren aus Platzgründen nur den jüdischen Friedensnobelpreisträger Menachim Begin:

**«Unsere Rasse ist die Herrenrasse. Wir sind heilige Götter auf diesem Planeten. Wir sind so weit entfernt von den minderwertigen Rassen, wie sie von Insekten sind. (...) Andere Rassen werden als menschliche Exkremente betrachtet. Unser Schicksal ist es, die Herrschaft über die minderwertigen Rassen zu übernehmen. Unser irdisches Reich wird von unseren Führern mit einem Stab aus Eisen regiert werden. Die Massen werden unsere Füße lecken und uns als Sklaven dienen!» - Israels Ministerpräsident Menachem Begin in einer Rede vor der Knesset. Amnon Kapeliouk: Begin and the Beasts, New Statesman, 25. Juni 1982.**

Oder:

**„... ihr sollt kein Mitleid mit ihnen haben und ihr sollt ihre arabische Kultur restlos zerstören. Auf diesen Ruinen werden wir dann unsere eigene Zivilisation aufbauen.“ - Israels Ministerpräsident Menachem Begin auf einer zionistischen Konferenz in Tel Aviv am 28. Oktober 1956**

Die arabische Palästinenser benennt Begin als:

**"They are beasts walking on two legs." Und das kennen wir auch: "... alle Nichtjuden sind wie Tiere des Waldes" - Israels Ministerpräsident Menachem Begin in einer Rede vor der Knesset. Amnon Kapeliouk: Begin and the Beasts, New Statesman, 25. Juni 1982.**

Menachim Begin als Chef der terroristischen IRGUN Zionbrigaden war u.a. verantwortlich für den Sprengstoffanschlag auf das King David Hotel 1946 in Jerusalem, bei dem 91 Menschen ums Leben kamen (28 britische Staatsangehörige, 41 Araber, 17 Juden und fünf aus anderen Ländern). Unter Begins Befehl wurden zwei britische Soldaten - Clifford Martin und Mervyn Paice - entführt und gehängt. Begin wurde anschließend von den Briten steckbrieflich gesucht. Er tarnte sich unter anderem als bärtiger Rabbi Sassover. Menachem Begins Verwicklung in das Massaker von Deir Yasin vom 9. April 1948 an Arabern wo hunderte arabischen Opfer von der Irgun und im Auftrag von Begin in Brunnen schächte geworfen wurden ist ebenfalls historisch erwiesen. Paradoxerweise liegt das ausgelöschte arabische Dorf Deir Yasin nur einen Steinwurf vom Yad Vase Museum entfernt. In diesem werden zwar die kabbalistisch-mythologischen 6 Millionen bejammert, aber kein Wort vom Deir Yasin Massaker. Es gibt im Yad Vase Museum wo deutsche Politiker pflichtgemäss auf Knien zu ihren Göttern zu rutschen haben, auch keine Antwort auf die Frage Wo genau und Wie genau diese 6 Millionen und Wohin sie verschwunden sind. Mit den „Verbotsgesetzen“ der Götter von heute werden sogar jahrtausendalte mathematische Grundgesetze und die Gesetze des Universums in Frage gestellt.

Museum entfernt. In diesem werden zwar die kabbalistisch-mythologischen 6 Millionen bejammert, aber kein Wort vom Deir Yasin Massaker. Es gibt im Yad Vase Museum wo deutsche Politiker pflichtgemäss auf Knien zu ihren Göttern zu rutschen haben, auch keine Antwort auf die Frage Wo genau und Wie genau diese 6 Millionen und Wohin sie verschwunden sind. Mit den „Verbotsgesetzen“ der Götter von heute werden sogar jahrtausendalte mathematische Grundgesetze und die Gesetze des Universums in Frage gestellt.

Dürfte wohl einmalig in der Geschichte der Menschheit sein, dass Massenmörder und Terroristen - hier Menachem Begin - für seine Verbrechen 1978 auch noch einen "Friedensnobelpreis" kassieren konnte. Dazu 2015 die Merkel: Nie wieder Judenhass. Seltsam-paradox, denn die Hohepriesterin der „Götter“, Merkel, fordert weiterhin bedingungslose Liebe für Terroristen, Kriegstreiber und Massenmörder. Und sie fördert und finanziert auch heute noch den zionistischen Terror, Kriege und endlose Massaker - in der Ukraine UND Palästina UND wo immer die IS und ISIS operiert.



**Friedensnobelpreis 1978 für einen ashkenasischen Massenmörder.**

Man sagt Geschichte wiederholt sich. In diesem Fall kann man eine Parallele zu der mythologischen Anunnaki-Priesterin Inanna herstellen (auch in diesem Fall haben die Juden in ihrer Bibel die Geschichte der Menschheit umgeschrieben). Damals wie heute wurde die Menschheit von kriegsgeilen, inzuchtlerischen „Göttern“ und Verbrechern regiert.

**Vor rund 5000-6000 Jahren erschien dann auf der Bildfläche die Menschheitsvernichtende Göttin Inanna. Nachzulesen auch in den sumerischen Schriften und Überlieferungen. Und Merkel wandert heute genau in Inannas Fussstapfen.**

Niemand weiss genau was die vorarchaische Volksvernichterin Inanna damals als Waffe der Götter verwendete (letztendlich waren es aber Atomwaffen) aber die Satanspriesterin Merkel schwingt genau wie ihr Vorbild nicht minder tödliche Waffen, wie z.B. die Holokeule der Götter oder das „Verbotsgesetz“ der Österreicher.



**Menschheitsvernichtende Göttin Inanna**  
\*\*\*\*\*

## Gerd Honsik

**Aus Anlass der Kapitulation der deutschen Wehrmacht heute vor siebzig Jahren stelle ich folgende Sendung auf youtube:**

**Illustriert mit weitgehend unbekannten Filmdokumenten und Fotomaterial trage ich eine Neufassung meiner beiden Balladen „Der Blumenkrieg“ und der „Untergang von Dresden“ vor und ich benannte diese Gegenüberstellung zweier zusammenhängender Ereignisse mit der lateinischen Bezeichnung „peccatus poenae“ - „Sündenfall und Strafergericht“.**

**Sie finden den Beitrag auf [youtube](#) unter [peccatus title1](#)**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c59UCdiFF-E>

RizoliTV: holocaust lies

<http://rizolitv.com/2015/04/20/exposing-a-few-holocaust-lies>

Nationalistic documentary based on the novel by  
Thomas Goodrich Hellstorm:

*The Death of Nazi-Germany 1944-1947*

<http://www.amazon.com/Hellstorm-Death-Nazi-Germany-1944-1947/dp/1494775069>

<http://youtu.be/GMCOKNCwHmQ> - 90 min

## Ganz ausgezeichnet, Rosemarie!

From: [Harrison Lowman](#)

Sent: Wednesday, May 06, 2015 3:11 PM

Subject: TVO's The Agenda with Steve Paikin request

Hello,

My name is Harrison Lowman. I am a producer at TVO's The Agenda with Steve Paikin. We are a current affairs show within Ontario's provincial broadcaster. We are currently putting an episode together to commemorate 70 years since the end of the war in Europe, and were wondering if you might be able to assist us.

The program will be composed of individuals that were present in different countries when it was announced that the war had ended. One person we are looking for is someone who was in Germany on that day. They do not necessarily have to have served. They simply have to have been alive at the time and be willing to come on the show to provide a description to our viewers about what those days were like.

If you might be able to assist us with this matter it would be greatly appreciated.

We are hoping to tape this segment this coming Tues. May 12 at 1:45pm. The taping would last approximately 37 mins. We have the ability to tape remotely and through Skype if needed.

Thank you.

Harrison Lowman

Producer

The Agenda with Steve Paikin

P: 416-484-2600 x.2399

C: 416-908-7863

E: [hlowman@tvo.org](mailto:hlowman@tvo.org)

T: @harrisonlowman



From: Rosemarie

Sent: Friday, May 08, 2015 10:03 PM

Subject: TVO's The Agenda with Steve Paikin request

Dear Mr. Lowman,

I do admire your program "The Agenda with Steve Paikin" I hope that you had many replies to your current request, however, from my point of view it would be very difficult for any German that knows the truth and survived the war to make a statement on what emotions they felt on May 8th 1945.

The reason may be that over the last 70 years the "Victors" had re-written History and the "truth" why and who started the War has been suppressed (the Versailles Treaty was a prerequisite for another war) and it is political incorrect to question what has been re-written by the Allies. If you look into historical facts on what happened after May 1945, e.g. Rheinwiesenlager (deliberate starvation and exposure to the elements) or other German Prisoner of War camp atrocities, (or the ones sent to the Goulag) you may get a glimpse on what was happening to millions of Germans after the war. After 1945 the Allies not only robbed Germany of their technology, scientist, gold and treasures but also divided the country (divide and conquer) millions of Germans were robbed of their homes and properties and expelled from their homeland, losing everything they had build up

for generations, if they were not shot (or raped) on the way and were lucky to be alive they had a chance to start a new beginning in areas designated to these Refugees.

**Nobody ever talks about the emotional scars that the "German Survivors" had to live with for the last 70 years. To this day Germany still is an Allied occupied Nation and does not have a peace treaty. By the beginning of 1945, 53 Nations had declared war against Germany. Now 70 years later the hatred still goes on; every other Nation can be proud of their heritage, but any German that shows patriotism is labelled a NAZI or Fascist. The Media can not even distinguish the difference between Fascism and National Socialism. NATIONAL SOCIALISM REPRESENTS LOYALTY TO YOUR PEOPLE AND TO YOUR NATION.**

**Unfortunately the "masses" do not know the real History because it is banned and can not be taught in Schools. My principal in life always is: THE TRUTH FEARS NO QUESTIONS, ONLY THE LIES DO"**  
**If you would interview a German that would tell the truth on what they felt on May 8, 1945 or 70 years later it would contradict the "re-written History" of the last 70 years and it would never be published in the controlled media.**

*I was born on April 26, 1942 in Cologne Germany in the middle of an air raid (Cologne is also one of the major cities flattened by the Allies through carpet bombing ) my Mother, my Sister and I had been evacuated to Oberlungwitz a small town near Chemnitz in order to get away from the major cities that were target, when the Americans took over Oberlungwitz and then later handed it over to the Russians, we were placed in a camp, deloused with DDT, we were infested with lice, fleas and worms, sat on horse blankets and were starving, it was not until October 1945 that the Russians let us free. As all railroads and bridges had been destroyed throughout the devastated country, we started on a slow trek on horse and buggy back to Cologne, crossed the river Rhein on a pontoon bridge, only to arrive in a city in ruins. My Grandfathers Buildings were partially destroyed by firebombs and the larger corner Buildings were just rubble, there was nothing left. The next years were cold and hungry years, memories of my childhood are playing in rubble and ruins. After I immigrated to Canada in 1959 and rebuilding of the city started, live bombs were still found in the rubble that I played in. In 1948 when I started School there was not a day that went by when we did not hear the siren alarm, each time the alarm sounded in the neighbourhood meant that another bomb was found that had not been detonated.*

**It is amazing that when you grow up under such circumstances you do not know the difference because that is the only life that you know, it is only when life improves and you look back you wonder "how did I ever survive".**



Perhaps the children that are facing the same tragedy now in this never ending world conflict feel the same, they live from one day to the next and do not think of the future, because there may not be one.

Sincerely yours,  
A German Survivor,  
Rosemarie Rohrbach-Gabriel

---

## Brandon Martinez on Earth News Channel – The ISIS Conspiracy

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gMWr-VqXmtc>

+++++

Before reading the next item think about this:

Internet censorship is rife in matters Holocaust and related matters.

**Question:** How come Internet websites, purported to be run by ISIS/ISIL, etc., are not taken down?

**Answer:** The major global Internet servers are facilitating the flourishing of these terrorist groups.

Then ask the question: Cui Bono – in whose interest is it to have the Middle East destabilized?

**Answer:** Jewish interests that seek to establish Eretz Israel-Greater Israel, and those who, often unknowingly, follow the Masonic imperative to help rebuild King Solomon's Temple.

– but not only!

+++++

### ISIL releases video, claims execution of 10 Iraqis

Sat May 9, 2015 9:33PM

The ISIL Takfiri terrorists have released a new video purportedly showing the execution of 10 people, this time in new-color jumpsuits, on espionage charges in Iraq.

The video, released on Saturday, reportedly shows the blindfolded victims in blue jumpsuits in an unknown area north of the Iraqi capital Baghdad, with 10 masked ISIL terrorists standing behind them.



**The screenshot taken from an ISIL video reportedly shows Iraqi hostages executed by the terrorists on May 9, 2015.**

The captives are then forced to kneel and shot dead by the terrorists. According to the ISIL, a commander of Iraq's Popular Mobilization Forces, named Musa Abd Fayyaz Hassan Mohammadi, and the head of the municipal council of the town of al-Sada, Abd al-Majid Zaki Sulaiman al-Hayali were among the dead.

The militants have so far released several similar videos showing the execution of captives from different countries, including Egypt, the United States, Britain, and Japan. The hostages, including aid workers and journalists, were usually dressed in orange jumpsuits, reminiscent of the ones inmates wear in US terror prisons.

In a video released in February, the ISIL elements were seen apparently beheading 21 Egyptian Coptic Christians kidnapped in Libya.



**The screenshot taken from an ISIL video released on May 9, 2015, reportedly shows Iraqi hostages moments before their execution by the terrorists**

Also in February, ISIL posted an online video showing a Jordanian pilot, captured by the militants when his plane came down in northern Syria during a mission against the terrorist group, being burned inside a cage.

#### ISIL commander killed

The footage surfaced as reports said a senior commander of the ISIL terror group was killed in Iraq's district of al-Hawija in the northern province of Kirkuk.

Jordanian Abu Yaman al-Zarqawi, 55, was one of the most prominent leaders and trainers of the ISIL in Syria, who came to Iraq in June last year, Iraq's khabaar.net quoted Abdul Karim Al-Muhanna, a local official in Hawija, as saying on Saturday.

According to Muhanna, Zarqawi was killed in a house explosion in the northern part of Hawija.

The Takfiris currently control parts of Iraq and neighboring Syria. They have carried out heinous crimes in the two countries, including mass executions and beheadings of people.

**MSM/NT/AS**

<http://www.presstv.ir/Detail/2015/05/09/410259/ISIL-execution-Iraq-hostage-terrorist-Egypt>

---

## More Neocon-Zionist theater in Texas

By BRANDON MARTINEZ, Fri May 8, 2015 6:54AM

What a surprise – another extremely dubious, synthetic scandal that played out the familiar neocon script of 'violent Muslims are attacking free speech' has hit the American south.

Since the Ottawa shooting back in October of 2014, the Neocon-Zionist false flaggers who control most Western governments have executed a series of highly choreographed public relations stunts designed to re-enforce the contrived 'war on terror'

narrative as well as submerge the public in fear, thereby ripening the masses for government power-grabs in the form of 'anti-terrorism' legislation.

According to media reports, on May 3 two assailants purportedly opened fire outside an anti-Muslim 'cartoon contest' event organized by Pamela Gellar, a radical Jewish activist who has made a career out of vilifying Muslims and inciting for more

Zionist wars in the Middle East. Gellar's event, held in Garland, Texas, challenged people to submit derogatory cartoons of the Prophet Muhammad in a similar vein to Charlie Hebdo's rancid provocations. Gellar offered a \$10,000 prize for the "best depiction of Muhammad."

We are told that two American Muslims, Elton Simpson and Nadir Soofi, showed up at the event with automatic assault rifles and managed to shoot and injure a police officer before being gunned down in front of the Curtis Cullwell Center. It is difficult to confirm if any of this actually happened.

Media are insinuating that the two men had tenuous links to ISIS, but these 'links' amount to nothing more than pro-ISIS Twitter accounts praising them.



**FBI investigators work a crime scene outside of the Curtis Culwell Center after a shooting occurred the day before May 4, 2015 in Garland, Texas. During the "Muhammad Art Exhibit and Cartoon Contest," an anti-Islam event, on May 3, two men opened fire, wounding a security guard. © AFP**

What is for certain is that one of the alleged shooters, 30-year-old Elton Simpson, was on the FBI's radar since 2007 and had even been convicted in 2011 for lying to Federal authorities about trying to join the al-Shabab group in Somalia. Court records show that Simpson was in contact with an FBI informant named Daba Deng who was paid more than \$100,000 by the notoriously corrupt agency to befriend Simpson. Strangely, Simpson was only given three months probation and released. What are the odds that the FBI didn't continue to keep tabs on Simpson after his arrest and conviction in 2011? What are the chances that Simpson and his alleged co-conspirator were able to purchase a stash of handguns and rifles that they supposedly used in the failed Garland attack without the Feds noticing? What is the likelihood that the FBI, in conjunction with the Zionist neocon clique led by Pamela Gellar, didn't fabricate this whole scenario out of thin air as per the neocon 'big lie' technique?



**In this AFP photo investigators work a crime scene before the removal of two bodies outside of the Curtis Culwell Center after a shooting occurred the day before May 4, 2015 in Garland, Texas. During the "Muhammad Art Exhibit and Cartoon Contest," an anti-Islam event, on May 3, two men opened fire wounding a security guard. Police officers shot and killed one of the men at the scene.**

The Wall Street Journal reported that the FBI in fact warned Garland police hours before the shooting that Simpson was a threat. The International Business Times tells us: "FBI Director James Comey said on Thursday that his agency issued a bulletin to the Garland police department that included a photo of Simpson just hours before the affront." Reuters also confirmed that the FBI's warning mentioned that Simpson was interested in the anti-Muslim event hosted by Gellar.

The FBI covered for itself by saying that although they warned Garland police about Simpson, he supposedly "gave no indication that he planned an attack." WFAA8 News added that "Garland police spokesman Officer Joe Harn said at a 10 a.m. news conference Monday that security had been ramped up for the controversial event, and a plan had been in place involving the FBI for months." What "plan involving the FBI" is he referring to? After the alleged shoot-out with Simpson and Soofi, police are said to have bizarrely detonated the suspects' car as a 'precaution,' even though they found no explosives in it.

Much like all of the other patsies in the Ottawa, Sydney, Paris and Copenhagen shootings that conveniently all transpired over a six month time-frame, one of the two individuals allegedly involved in the Garland shooting was well-known to authorities and had previously been in contact with an FBI informant posing as an Islamic radical. It's the same modus operandi every time – pay an informant to induce, incite or otherwise cajole an impressionable, inept and perhaps desperate young Muslim into planning a violent act, and then clear the way for that individual to follow through on it. Then play dumb about the 'threat' this person posed, denying any knowledge of ill intent despite enormous resources making it all but impossible not to have known. And make sure the dupe/patsy is killed so they can't talk and so nothing can come to light in a proper trial.

Even if this failed attack unfolded just as the media says it did, the FBI is ultimately at fault for 'dropping the ball' yet again (irrespective of their dubious 'warning' that accomplished nothing), even though they had more than enough reason to suspect Simpson and his companion were plotting something. They also undoubtedly knew that Gellar's 'Draw the Prophet' charade was the perfect bait for any would-be radical trying to make a name for himself, yet allowed the provocation to proceed under the pretext of 'upholding the first amendment.' As the Garland police spokesman confessed, this had all been pre-planned months beforehand. Only the very naïve or hopelessly deluded will put any faith in the FBI's lame-duck denials that they deliberately allow attacks of these sorts to take place, or organize them directly by way of informants, such as the one linked to Simpson.

The Zionist-influenced mass media has predictably seized on this latest terror stage-play to push the fear campaign of 'homegrown terror' harder than ever before, plunging the already credulous masses deeper into an artificially-induced slumber of willful ignorance about the fabricated nature of 'terrorism' in the West.

BM/HSN



**BRANDON MARTINEZ**  
**Analyst**

Brandon Martinez is an independent writer and journalist from Canada who specializes in foreign policy issues, international affairs and 20th and 21st century history. For years he has written on Zionism, Israel-Palestine, American and Canadian foreign policy, war, terrorism and deception in media and politics. His articles and analysis have appeared on Press TV, Veterans News Now, Media With Conscience News, Whatsupic, Intifada Palestine, Information Clearing House, What Really Happened, and other alternative media outlets.

<http://www.presstv.ir/Detail/2015/05/08/410013/Texas-Garland-shooting-Prophet-Muhammad>



## THE FAUX OUTRAGE AROUND STRUGGLE STREET AND THE CONTROVERSY THAT NEVER WAS



BY **LUKE BUCKMASTER**, MAY 7, 2015

**Luke Buckmaster is *Daily Review*'s resident film critic. He has written for *Crikey* since 2007. He also writes for *Guardian Australia*.**

When promos began airing for comedian Paul Fenech's 2011 TV show *Housos*, *A Current Affair* and *Today Tonight* mistook it for a documentary and launched an all-guns-blazing attack on the SBS for using the taxpayer dime to fund exploitation of the poor and uneducated.

They were wrong, of course. Or in Fenech's vocabulary they were "stooges": the program was a comedy set in a fictitious low-economic suburb ironically named Sunnyvale, and thus far removed from real-life.



This week's premiere of SBS's new show *Struggle Street* – one could preface its title with the word "controversial" though that would be buying into something of a non-event (more on that in a moment) – brought a reversal of tabloid TV's *Housos* face palm moment.

Prior to broadcast, *Struggle Street* was mistaken as a vicious comedy ridiculing its poorly educated participants. We now know it is a serious work and not the sensational trash-fest we were informed it would be by critics including Blacktown Mayor Stephen Bali, who spat vitriol and outrage at the SBS and protested by deploying garbage trucks to blockage the public broadcaster's headquarters (because it's rubbish, geddit?). Many others jumped on the bandwagon, knickers very much in a knot over a program few of them had actually watched.

Let's pause to reflect on two things. Firstly, we live in a world where people still go ape shit about the contents of a show they are yet to see. Given television advertisements sell the sizzle with short attention-grabbing moments they should always be regarded with a grain of salt, though it's hard to believe

somebody wouldn't already know that if they've ever watched a beach-set commercial for a soft drink and observed something of a disconnect between the bikini babes playing on the sand and the bottle of carbonated syrup in the convenience store fridge.

Secondly, with all the layers of accountability that are associated with jobs in the public office, we also live in a world where a mayor can send a fleet of garbage trucks out to park in front of a broadcaster because they don't like the look of one of their programs and nobody so much as suggests that this person may be guilty of their own accusation of using the public purse irresponsibly.

Perhaps the City of Sydney Council should hang their heads in shame: we now know they had a free pass to carpet-bomb Baz Luhrmann's old mansion in Darlinghurst with crater loads of glitter to protest his butchering of F. Scott Fitzgerald's *The Great Gatsby*, and they squandered it.

News outlets rushed to publish reviews of *Struggle Street*; you can read some [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#). Consensus is positive, pundits generally agreeing the show draws attention to lives that too often escape the collective radar and justly acknowledge the voice over narration c/o veteran David Field lays it on a little thick. And indeed, far scarier than the existence of "poverty porn" (the label that stuck to *Struggle Street* before anybody had had a chance to see it) is the existence of a film and TV industry that shies from depicting the lives of people who live on the fringes.

Consensus also seems to be that the SBS were wrong to show one of the subjects farting in the promo (this bit was removed from both the promos and the program itself). In other words, decades of debate about the moral responsibilities of documentary filmmaking has finally led to a concrete answer: the line determining what is ethical and what is not evidently comes down to whether final cut includes footage of a participant breaking wind.

One would have thought a 16-year-old girl announcing to almost one million viewers that she was raped when she was 13 would provide greater cause for concern. We can only hope the production team communicated potential ramifications of making such an announcement and offered counselling, though it's hard to imagine somebody that young could truly understand the gravity of the situation.

Congratulations to her and the rest of the participants, who have shown bravery in their willingness to be filmed and followed. Thanks should also go out to the iron fist of Mayor Stephen Bali and the drivers of those garbage trucks. Their media stunt ensured a boost in ratings for the SBS, and while *Struggle Street* is far from must-see television we are nevertheless better informed for having watched.

<http://dailyreview.crikey.com.au/the-faux-outrage-around-struggle-street-and-the-controversy-that-never-was/23562>

## Climate change is UN-led hoax to create 'new world order' – Australian PM's adviser

Published time: May 08, 2015 11:25

The Australian prime minister's chief business adviser says that climate change is a ruse led by the United Nations to create a new world order under the agency's control. The statement coincided with a visit from the UN's top climate negotiator.

Maurice Newman, chairman of Prime Minister Tony Abbott's business advisory council, said the UN is using false models which show sustained temperature increases because it wants to end democracy and impose authoritarian rule.

"It's a well-kept secret, but 95 percent of the climate models we are told prove the link between human CO2 emissions and catastrophic global warming have been found, after nearly two decades of temperature stasis, to be in error," he wrote in an

opinion piece published in The Australian newspaper on Friday, without providing evidence.

"The real agenda is concentrated political authority. Global warming is the hook," he said, adding that the UN is against capitalism and freedom and wants to create a "new world order."


The adviser's inflammatory comments coincided with a visit from UN climate chief Christiana Figueres.

According to Newman, Figueres is "on record saying democracy is a poor political system for fighting global warming. Communist China, she says, is the best model."



Figueres was in Australia to discuss practical climate change action, urging the country to move away from heavily polluting coal production. She also urged Australia to play a leading role at the climate summit in Paris in December.

But that call is unlikely to be heeded. During November's G20 meeting in Brisbane, Abbott warned that the Paris summit would fail if world leaders decided prioritize the cutting of carbon emissions over economic growth.



**Maurice Newman, the Australian PM's business adviser (Reuters/Daniel Munoz)**

Abbott, who called the science behind climate change "crap" in 2009, also repealed a tax on carbon pricing and abolished the independent Climate Commission advisory body in Australia. The prime minister has been reluctant to take part in climate

change politics, trying but failing to keep it off the agenda at last year's G20 summit.

Both Abbott's office and the United Nations have so far declined to comment on Newman's statements.

A well-known climate change skeptic, Newman has made similar provocative comments in the past, calling the notion a "myth" and a "delusion."

In February, he criticized renewable energy policies. Citing British charity Age UK, he stated that elderly citizens in Britain often die of "winter deaths" because they can't afford power. He blamed renewable energy policies which drive up the price of energy.

However, when asked about his claim by The Guardian, the charity sent back a statement which referenced high energy costs, but failed to mention anything about renewable energy.

Just a few months earlier, in November 2014, Newman cited a Scottish government-commissioned study which allegedly said that for every job in the renewable sector, 3.7 jobs were lost elsewhere. However, the report itself made no mention that it was commissioned by the government. In fact, the government called the study "misleading," adding that the industry would actually have the opposite effect on jobs.

According to the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, the global mean temperature could rise by up to 4.8° Celsius (40.6° Fahrenheit) this century alone. The prediction is seen as a recipe for droughts, floods and rising seas.

<http://rt.com/news/256861-climate-change-un-hoax/>

## The Jewish and Muslim Questions

**Enza Ferreri, May 8, 2015**

I ended my last article in *The Occidental Observer* focused on the current [campaign against the "Jewification of Britain"](#) and on some similarities between Islam and Judaism as well as between Muslim and Jewish communities living in the West. Nevertheless the Jewish and Muslim questions have very different repercussions for Whites, and this topic has been regularly and repeatedly discussed.

How in my opinion these two questions should be treated is the subject of this article.

I have observed a regular divergence of opinion on it. There is a spectrum of positions, at one extreme are those who think that Muslims are the real and sole problem, while at the other extreme end are those who believe that Muslims are innocent and only used as scapegoats.

In my view neither claim is entirely right or entirely wrong.

Muslims are a real problem, although they have been scapegoated.

Islam is at war with the West, it's always been, there's no denying it. Islam indeed is at war with the rest of the world.

Islam divides the world into two parts: Dar al-Islam (which in Arabic means "house/abode of Islam", also called Dar as-Salam, "house/abode of Peace"); and Dar al-Harb (in Arabic "house of war", also referred to as Dar al-Garb, "house of the West" in later Ottoman sources), which is applied to the whole part of the globe where Islam has not yet triumphed and Islamic law is not in force.

The word 'Islam' means 'submission'.

The language is clear, the doctrine behind it even clearer: where Islamic rule has been imposed through whatever means, there is peace — but bear in mind that practically every single population and nation in the world that is Muslim now has become so through initial military conquest and subjugation. Where Islam has not yet been imposed, there will be war.

Islam is at war with us, whether we like it or not.

Furthermore, even a cursory glance at the violent history of Islam, characterised by wars of conquest and conflicts with its non-Muslim neighbours, will show that this doctrine has been constantly put into practice. Look at a map of the world and you'll see that the borders around the Islamic part of it are in a state of continuous hostility and warfare with its neighbouring infidels.

As [James Murray](#) describes:

My Spanish ancestors fought for 700 years to free Spain of Muslim (and Jewish) rule. In that struggle, the first great Holocaust in history, the mass murder of Mozarabs (Spanish Catholics culturally assimilated by Islam) in Spain was undertaken by Muslims: One third of the Spanish nation was exterminated. (In the last thousand years, only the Qing extermination of the Dzhugarians was more complete.) The reconquest of Spain for Christendom secured it for half a millennium, a period of security that has ended with the collapse of Christendom and the triumph of the ideology of Cultural Marxism known as Multiculturalism, everywhere in the West.

So, to talk about Muslims as just innocent victims of Jewish power and nothing else doesn't hold water; such talk is not supported by empirical evidence.

On the other hand, Islam, although it has the potential of being extremely violent and dangerous for non-Muslims (and for Muslims too), was sleeping before the last few decades of the 20th century, undergoing a relatively peaceful period in its history; many parts of the Islamic world had even become relatively moderate and more secular — which, in the case of Islam, means less intransigent and aggressive.

A major event and a major process have shaken Islam and awakened it from its slumber. The event is the birth of the state of Israel, which was the result of violence and dispossession against Palestinian Muslims as well as (often forgotten) Christians. The process is the series of wars, invasions and aggressions against Muslim countries that followed America's declaration of "War on Terror" after 9/11, and which we have every reason to believe were only fought in the interest of Israel, living a precarious life surrounded by enemies and people with a justified grievance towards it.

To all this we have to add the process of mass immigration from the Third World to the West, that has been populating Europe with Muslims from Asia and Africa in vast, unprecedented numbers, which paradoxically, while generously offering them opportunities they previously couldn't even have dreamt of, has also ungratefully "radicalised" them, due (among other reasons) to the sheer contact with the decadent, degenerate West which Muslim culture has always despised.

Unwanted immigration, more correctly called "invasion," has in this way imported the Muslim problem — which could have remained confined to the Islamic parts of the world with their unfortunate, innocent Christian victims living in those hellholes

— to Western shores, therefore making it impossible for Whites not to deal with it.

All this doesn't alter the fact that Israel and Jewish interests have made use of false flag strategies to make Western powers wrongly believe that Muslims were guilty of acts of aggression or terror orchestrated by themselves to [scapegoat Muslims](#), or failing to provide U.S. with forewarning of [9/11](#).

But here we come to another problem. Europe's Muslim immigrants are sometimes described as a powerless, disenfranchised, non-privileged minority, and attacking such dispossessed people, for example through the *Charlie Hebdo* cartoons, is claimed to be therefore unethical, the lowest limit of moral behaviour. Typically, people who say this don't utter a word about the "disenfranchised" Christians of Western Europe, odiously vilified and venomously offended by the same little pornographic rag.

The reality is that Europe's Muslim minority is not powerless. Europe's Muslims have protectors and supporters in high places: just ask the survivors among the thousands and thousands of British White girls who have been [abused, raped and even murdered](#) in the last 20 years. These crimes have been overlooked by police, social services, media and politicians (except the BNP's Nick Griffin, one of the first to raise the alarm but his claims were ignored, and he was even prosecuted for his efforts because he's "racist"). The perpetrators were let off the hook exactly owing to the fact that they were Muslim paedophile rings and nobody in the UK wants to be called "Islamophobic." Have we all forgotten the power of victimhood in our societies? And a "disenfranchised minority" that is prepared to kill for a series of cartoons? Wouldn't that be a murderous minority, rather?

You have to consider the things that Muslims want to do to our countries and our people. One of them is to silence us — another similarity they have with the Jewish lobby. Muslims in Europe — where they have much more power than in America — don't want to stop only Muhammad cartoons; they want to stop any rational discussion and criticism of Islam, impose their dress code in every circumstance, make polygamy part of the law, enforce halal meat as well as many other aspects of sharia law, and much more. They are an aggressive, bullying, uncompromising minority. Many of Europe's Muslims — especially women — don't even learn the language of the country they live in.

Christian and other non-Muslim children are regularly forced to [eat halal meat at school](#); **but, if some pork is found in the meal of a Muslim pupil, the dinner lady responsible is immediately sacked**, even if it was just a mistake on her part. Is this a powerless minority?

There is a clear divide between North American and European Whites, as the two groups experience a different situation vis-à-vis these non-Whites and non-Christians: in the US Muslims are not very influential while Jews hold an enormous power, whereas in Western Europe something close to the reverse is true, with Muslims' numbers high and increasing and their power growing, while Jewish power (although strong) is much less visible than in America.

I disagree with the position of those who say that to criticise Islam and Muslims is inappropriate because it increases support for Jews and their wars.

You cannot lie to the people about the nature of Islam and its threat just because it could theoretically benefit the Jewish and Israel lobbies. Our task is to make people aware of both menaces from two hostile groups. I also suspect that some people who take the above position are not aware of what Islam really is and their view is not based on Islam's objective reality as much as on the way they see it as a force opposing Jews.

Even if we decided to ally ourselves with Muslims, we should be well aware of who our allies are, not blind to it.

I have even read comments that postulate a similarity between Christianity and Islam, which undoubtedly reveal profound ignorance of one, the other, or both, as they stand for diametrically opposite views of everything important, from human nature to ethical goals, from concepts of freedom to what salvation means.

You can see the enormous differences between these two religions from the results they've produced. It is no coincidence

that only the part of the world that became Christian has made gigantic progress when compared to the rest of the globe, including the nations populated by races with higher average IQs.

In addition, we Whites of different continents are in this together, should form an alliance and find a common ground. Americans would also be wise to see the Muslim danger in Europe as a sign of things probably to come for them too, a warning for their own future.

There is already in Western countries — especially in Europe — a widespread dislike for Islam. It may not be mainstream, but it involves significant numbers of people.

What is true is that, when problems associated with mass immigration and different, conflictual ethnic communities (in the UK for instance) are discussed, Jews are hardly ever mentioned — while Muslims loom very large, under the common assumption that Jews are not really an ethnic group and that they are undistinguishable from native Whites.

While in Europe there is a growing awareness that Muslims mean trouble, that they don't belong in Western or indeed any modern society, and even that they are bound, due to the supremacism in-built in their doctrine, to be in constant conflict with any other group they happen to live with, a substantial — if not overwhelming — majority of British and European natives in general consider Jews as not different from themselves, part of the social fabric of their continent. They think of Jews as a group with fundamentally the same interests as the rest of the community rather than a group that has consistently pursued interests that conflict with the traditional peoples of Europe. In short: not alien, not foreign, not ghettoised, not hostile, not dangerous.

In fact this impression is mistaken even at a superficial level: ultra-Orthodox Jews like the Hasidim can cause problems for the community they live in, described in [my previous article](#). Besides, over 1 in 10 of Jews living in Britain are ultra-Orthodox, and they have large average families of 6-7 children, thus representing the future Jewry of Europe.

The overcrowding created by them puts them in conflict with all other local residents, due to [building in violation of planning regulations](#). Ultra-Orthodox Jews, like Muslims and other Third World immigrants, are also overrepresented among welfare claimants.

However, since Jews in Europe, partly because their small number, do not produce the highly visible problems caused in certain areas by the ever-multiplying, relatively impoverished, uneducated Muslims, they constitute a challenge that goes largely unrecognised.

Anyone with eyes, ears and a modicum of brain can see the Muslim threat. Granted, sometimes — as possibly in the case of 9/11 — the threat is not genuine and Muslims are the designated scapegoat. But at other times it is.

But to be able to spot the way in which Jewish organisations and intellectual movements have managed to [transform our civilisation](#), culture, consciousness, way of thinking, laws and institutions to the point of devastating them and turning them upside down to suit their own community's perceived needs, to discern all that requires much more acumen, power of observation, ability to see links and connect the dots, capability for independent thinking, and then work and research, not to mention courage.

In a way, to see Islam as an enemy is the direct result of what we've been led to believe.

Make no mistake, though. It is an enemy, although innocent on particular occasions.

That's why, if we could show the public that behind 9/11 there was someone very different from Al-Qaeda, many other pieces of the puzzle would start falling into place for many people.

The reason why in Britain the so-called counterjihad movement, whose main force has been the English Defence League (EDL), has achieved practically nothing, despite having had a certain following, is twofold.

The first element is that it doesn't have long-term goals, a vision. It's not enough to be against something, you need to propose a positive solution. And in my view Christianity is the answer.

The second aspect is that the West's Muslim presence and Islamisation are only the last link of a long chain, the most visible symptom of an underlying, profound disease. What the EDL has not understood is this disease, and how it is interrelated to the Jewish question which I briefly summarized above, and which is at the root of all the current problems.

\*\*\*

**Enza Ferreri is an Italian-born, London-based Philosophy graduate, writer and journalist. She has been a London correspondent for several Italian magazines and newspapers, including Panorama, L'Espresso, La Repubblica.**

**She blogs at [www.enzaferreri.blogspot.co.uk](http://www.enzaferreri.blogspot.co.uk).**

\*\*\*

20 Comments to "The Jewish and Muslim Questions"

You can follow all the replies to this entry through the [comments feed](#)

**Austro May 8, 2015 - 8:32 pm | [Permalink](#)**

It will only happen once we have the right amount of pain, until then it is only talking.

**Fredrick Töben May 8, 2015 - 8:23 pm | [Permalink](#)**

When I reflect on such informative articles as this one from Enza Ferreri I fall back on my simplistic take of human endeavour: the battle of the wills – but not only. I also resist the temptation of embracing Jaques Barzun's and Oswald Spengler's gloomy predictions of the inevitable Occidental decline by realizing that with my three score and ten years of gathered information/wisdom there is a new generation of thinkers who worry about such a possible catastrophe occurring.

The question confronting me is then: What can I do about it not happening? Or should I simply relax and let things happen – resign myself to inevitable facts of decadence and take it easy and continue to enjoy watching Wagner's Twilight of the Gods?

**Hilineman May 8, 2015 - 8:10 pm | [Permalink](#)**

I will say that I agree with much of the criticism of Jewish power and influence in this article and this website in general. However, if I'm not mistaken, the author of this article seems to imply that Jews did 9/11. Am I correct? If so, could the author or someone else here please provide evidence for this claim? Let me emphasize that I'm simply asking a question. I'm not "defending the Jews", so no need to jump down my throat.

**Kevin MacDonald May 8, 2015 - 9:08 pm | [Permalink](#)**

Israeli/Jewish responsibility for 9-11 is not implied. It cites an article that the Israelis had foreknowledge but did not inform the US.

**Curmudgeon May 8, 2015 - 7:35 pm | [Permalink](#)**

How times change! In my youth we identified the Jewish problem as being that they passed for white. While that remains a problem (now unspoken), the bigger problem is that they have infiltrated and heavily influence the power structures, and have become the elite. Part of that influence is to insist on 3rd world immigration – Muslim if possible and have them infiltrate the same power structures. Ironically most secular Jews that I know don't like the Muslim invasion either.

The Jew and Muslim problem are 2 sides of the same coin.

**Seaxe May 8, 2015 - 6:57 pm | [Permalink](#)**

Beware of anyone who frames these questions in an either/or context.

I have quite enjoyed Ms. Ferreri's contributions to this site, and look forward to seeing more.

**Trenchant May 8, 2015 - 6:48 pm | [Permalink](#)**

**<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4gThWm6AR8o>**

The supposed Islamic threat to the power structure is risible, a Chris Morris satire. The liberties Muslims enjoy are at the pleasure of the Overlords, specifically to discomfort and distract the locals whilst enacting draconian police-state laws. The jab that precedes the knock-out blow.

**Nick Dean May 8, 2015 - 5:11 pm | [Permalink](#)**

Re-reading my prior post I'm aware I'm not explicit enough for some.

I mean to say that Whites who go pro-Muslim/antisemitic or go pro-Jewish/anti-Muslim almost always have lost sight of their goal: pro-Whiteness.

Pro-White should be non-negotiable. David Duke in mixed company does this well as a politician. And then I struggle to find another contemporary example ...

**K.K. May 8, 2015 - 5:06 pm | [Permalink](#)**

The answer to both 'questions', as well as the questions regarding other races, will necessarily differ depending on whether one looks at them from a White Nationalist perspective or a (mere) Racist perspective.

'Racists', including most HBD's, are fundamentally okay with a multicultural society based on the western model – they actually assume it. They answer the various 'questions' by estimating how compatible the corresponding races are with a western, multicultural society. Thus for instance: the Jews/Asians have the cognitive abilities required to compete, and even contribute to 'our' society, as well as a behavioral patron compatible with the rules and values of 'our' society; therefore the Jews/Asians are good/acceptable/allowed, contrary to Blacks, Muslims etc.

Obviously (must be), that racist/HBD model is terribly naïve, for it's blind to the racial loyalties, especially of the non-Whites, and it assumes that the kind of multicultural society where individuals of all the 'compatible' groups compete on equal footing will remain unchanged when Whites are no longer a majority. It should be obvious to anyone with half a brain that once the Asians, for example, become a decisive majority it'll be goodbye to 'equality' and hello to Asian privilege (this time a real one) or even Asian exclusivity.

Fundamentally there's only one realistic approach towards other peoples, which inevitably leads to White Nationalism: in this world of limited resources, populated by different races naturally imbued with (insurmountable) tribal instincts, all other races are our competitors and potential enemies. We must assume that other races will pursue their interest, necessarily to the detriment of others, including us. Therefore, at its core, there's simply the non-White question, which enfolds the 'Jewish question', the 'Muslim question', the 'Asian question' etc.

Further nuances of that universal and eternal principle, regarding actual politics in relation to other peoples, should be formulated according to the danger a people poses to us, based mainly on their objective strength and hostility towards us.

**Rehmat May 8, 2015 - 4:51 pm | [Permalink](#)**

Historically, Vatican has always considered Muslims being enemies and Jews being friends. Christian crusades against Muslims in Spain and Palestine were funded by the Shylocks.

Muslim Ottomans took some steam out of West's 'war on Islam' by occupying a significant portion of the so-called 'White Christian heartland' – even though Christianity born in Palestine was hijacked by the Romans in 325 CE.

Jews who escaped Europe's anti-Semitism found peace in Muslim-ruled lands. However, West's 'Jewish Problem' refused to go away even after the so-called Nazi Holocaust. Then Theodor Herzl came up with an excellent proposal to resolve this 'Jewish Problem' – expelled western Jews to British occupied Palestine.

Janet C. Phelan's article 'The United States and Israel: A Dance of Deception' explains what I'm talking about.

**<http://rehmat1.com/2014/09/17/israel-was-created-to-solve-europes-jewish-problem/>**

**Nick Dean May 8, 2015 - 4:28 pm | [Permalink](#)**

The motivating drives behind the major schools of both pro-Muslim/anti-jewish and anti-Muslim/pro-Jewish positions do not originate with self-serving Whites. How could they when each tends to disprivilege Whites against either Muslims or Jews?

So it's somehow fixed from the start against Whites. And so I assume it starts and proceeds under the control of Jews who are the only group that can reasonably believe they have the power to decide the final result.

**2cypher May 8, 2015 - 2:31 pm | [Permalink](#)**

This is a superb commentary, it is wonderful. I accept the why, but I am curious about invoking 9/11 as an answer...

I agree a strong case can be made about Israeli involvement, but even if it's true it's going to be a very hard sell.

**PaleoAtlantid May 8, 2015 - 2:17 pm | [Permalink](#)**

History should teach Europeans that Judaism/Islam are two faces of the same Semitic battering ram which is intent on our destruction. They are rivals, but any internal rivalry takes second place to their implacable hostility to our race and culture.



Has anybody ever wondered why the Arab/Islamic world didn't push the Zionist entity into the sea when they had the chance in the 1950's and early 1960's before Israel got nuclear weapons? A plausible answer as I see it was the involvement of Zionist Jews with the highest levels of Muslim leadership. A deal was cut whereby Palestine and Jordan would be sacrificed on the promise that Europe would be delivered to Islam. Sort of a Balfour Declaration in reverse.

**Rosa May 8, 2015 - 11:47 am | [Permalink](#)**

What Ms Ferrari underlines, is that Muslims appear quite frankly "others", alien, by their physical features, their dresses, their language, their women, etc. Therefore instinctively people become diffident, suspicious, and try and stay away from them, at least in South Europe, where centuries of Muslim and Turk violence are still well remembered.

Jews are different: they often are physically like us (how can you distinguish one of them from a French, an Italian, a Spaniard?), dress as us...I'm not talking of the Orthodox ones, which are rare to be seen in many EU countries, such as Italy or Spain. So the Jewish problem is hidden in sight. You should be very, very aware, of them, and above all, know some of them. Only after you have worked, be friendly, spent time with them, you realize all that's wrong with them.

And that applies for many, many Europeans.

It has been my own experience. Only after having known several of them, at home and across the Atlantic, and after reading (by chance) Prof MacDonald's articles, I started to recognize a pattern, a system of thinking and behaving common to many of them, and completely different from ours.

I suspect Ms Ferrari has had my same experience, after leaving Italy and arriving in UK, where Jewish power is much more evident than in our country.

Rosa, Milan

**Rerevisionist May 8, 2015 - 11:24 am | [Permalink](#)**

Both Islam and Judaism have a code which is part of the tribe. Christianity is not like that. And many of the characteristic white contributions have been made with the indifference or opposition of Christians when in activity mode. What's needed is a word, slogan, code to indicate that. Unfortunately, Jews have already corrupted most words - 'modernism', 'progressive', 'rationalist' and so on. The slogan has to convey the idea that Christianity is fine, and so is atheism, provided they're defended honestly and sincerely. The 'sincerity' part is important to avoid endless 'jesuitry', i.e. Talmudic-style tricks. The time for Christianity seems over, to me - but if a convincing practical argument for it is made, then OK. But it will need to be 'muscular Christianity'.

**Franklin Ryckaert May 8, 2015 - 10:39 am | [Permalink](#)**

Besides *dar-as-salam* and *dar-al-harb* following possibilities are also recognized:

Dar al-Hudna :

Dar al Hudna (Arabic: *الهدنة* "house of calm"): The land of non-believers currently under a truce, which is a respite between wars. A truce is bought by tribute or agreement. If either the harbis break the conditions for the truce, or after ten years (which ever comes first), hostilities are resumed. Furthermore, only treaties that conform to Islamic prescriptions are valid; if these conditions are not fulfilled, the treaty is worthless.

Dar al-'Ahd, Dar al-Sulh:

Dar al-'Ahd (Arabic: *العهد* "house of truce") or Dar al-Sulh (Arabic: *الصلح* "house of conciliation/treaty") are terms used for territories that do have a treaty of non-aggression or peace with Muslims.[6] These terms were coined to refer to the Ottoman Empire's relationship with its Christian tributary states. Today, the term refers to those non-Muslim governments which have armistice or peace agreements with Muslim governments. The actual status of the non-Muslim country in question may vary from acknowledged equality to tributary states.

Dar al-Amn :

Dar al-Amn (Arabic: *الأمن* "house of safety") refers to the status of Muslims either in the West or other non-Muslim societies. The term dar al-Amn may be used in conjunction with, or in opposition to, the older terms dar al-Islam and dar al-Harb, from which it is derived (see also "Dar al-Dawa"). This region usually refers to countries where Muslims have the right to

practice their religion. Many countries with Muslim minorities have been declared as Dar al-Amn at different points in time.

Dar al-Dawa:

Dar al-Dawa (Arabic: *الدعوة* "house of invitation") refers to a region where the religion of Islam has recently been introduced. Since the population had not been exposed to Islam before, they may not fit into the traditional definition of dar al-Harb. On the other hand, as the region is not yet Muslim, it cannot be dar al-Islam either. The most frequent use of the term dar al-Dawa is in regard to Arabia before and during the life of Muhammad commonly referred as Jahiliyyah period, era of ignorance of divine guidance. [citation needed]

More recently, the term dar al-Dawa has been proposed by Western Muslim philosophers for the status of Muslims in the West - or, more likely and logically, for the Western countries where these are living.

The term dar al-Dawa may be used in conjunction with, or in opposition to, the older terms dar al-Islam and dar al-Harb, from which it is derived, or simply be seen as just another sub-category of dar al-harb (see also "Dar al-Amn").

And "West" is Arabic is Gharb, not Garb.

Islam is an imperialist ideology, it is by its very nature aggressive. "World peace" on Islamic terms is only possible when the whole world has been converted to Islam. Until that "blessed" time Islam means war.

**Bob May 8, 2015 - 10:08 am | [Permalink](#)**

I wouldn't put the Muslim issue in the same league as the Jewish issue, particularly not here in the US. In the US Jewish pressure has opened the doors for a flood of third world (largely Catholic) immigration from Latin America (and elsewhere). In Europe, the same thing is happening, for the same reasons, only the wave of third world immigrants is largely Muslim (from Africa and the Middle East).

The two programs of displacement (in Europe and the US) are otherwise nearly identical insofar as I can see. The only real differences being the source and nature of the immigrant population. The common factor in both situations is the influence of organized Jewry.

Insofar as the "violence" and "aggressiveness" of Islam toward the West goes, it would be a non-issue save for the opening of Europe's borders to this massive wave of immigration. It is true enough that conflict is common along the periphery of the Islamic world (where it comes into direct contact with other cultures/religions), but we in the West have nothing to fear from this and it is an issue that could be managed with relative ease.

Europe is in no more danger of being overrun by Islamic armies than the US is of being overrun by Latin American armies. The issue is one of demographics, not military conflict. The real answer to the demographic problem exists independently of the peculiarities of Islamic cultures. The answer is obviously that whites must retake control of their own governments and once again become masters of their own fate...and their own house.

This brings us full circle back to the problem of confronting Jewish power. In this struggle Islam is no more central than is the Catholicism of Latin American immigrants to the US. Both cases could be effectively dealt with by simply maintaining control of our own borders and immigration policies.

**Mr Curious May 8, 2015 - 9:39 am | [Permalink](#)**

SNP - 1.5 Million votes - 56 seats  
UKIP - 3 Million votes - 1 seats

**Kevin MacDonald May 8, 2015 - 10:39 am | [Permalink](#)**

This article gives UKIP 1 seat but 4 million votes.  
<http://dailycaller.com/2015/05/08/scots-win-big-ukip-collapses-in-test-of-british-voting-system/>

It's a corrupt system that disfavors third parties, like in the US, but it's hard to see any change on the horizon because the present system favors the status quo. The SNP was not the only regional party to get way more seats with far fewer votes:

Northern Ireland's pro-British Democratic Unionist Party won 8 seats with only 184,260 votes, and Welsh nationalist Plaid Cymru held on to 3 with just 181,694. And Northern Ireland's separatist party Sinn Féin held on to 4 seats - even though it got just 176,232 votes, and even though its principles mean that duly elected candidates will all boycott actually serving in the British House of Commons.

**James+Reinfeld May 8, 2015 - 9:18 am | [Permalink](#)**

You cannot lie to the people about the nature of Islam and its threat just because it could theoretically benefit the Jewish and Israel lobbies.

Yes. The truth is our most important weapon, and we must stick to it.

2 Trackbacks to "The Jewish and Muslim Questions"

[Election 2015 round up | 2cypher - Real Politics for real people](#)

on May 8, 2015 at 2:09 pm

[The Jewish and Muslim Questions | Neoreactive](#)

on May 8, 2015 at 8:20 am

<http://www.theoccidentalobserver.net/2015/05/the-jewish-and-muslim-questions/>

## "Good War...Better Peace": How the Allied Forces Raped and Sodomized Germany

Posted by [Jonas E. Alexis](#) on May 8, 2015

**"Some Germans were forced to...eat their own excrement as well as that of others. Many were drowned in open latrines. Hundreds were herded into buildings and burned to death or sealed in caskets and buried alive."**

Commentary by [Jonas E. Alexis](#)

The recent World War II movie *Fury* (starring Brad Pitt and Shia LaBeouf) is not as hard-core as *Inglorious Basterds*, but it does contain enough poison to pollute the historical landscape. The movie begins with the following inscription:

"In World War II American tanks were outgunned and out armored by the more advanced German tanks. US tanks crewmen suffered staggering losses against the superior German vehicles."

**Fury Official Trailer (2014) Brad Pitt, Shia LaBeouf HD**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-OGvZoIrXpg>

If you have been living on a steady-diet of Hollywood, you would almost certainly think that the above statement is true. You would also think that Hitler aspired to invade America. Nothing could be further from the truth.

The sad point is that the historical record is available to anyone. For example, David Irving has some of his books online for free! And those books are largely based on archival documents. If Irving seems to be too wild for those Hollywood directors, they can check out R. H. S. Stofi's meticulously researched study: *Hitler: Beyond Evil and Tyranny*. Stofi is not some crank out there. He is a professor emeritus at the U.S. Naval Postgraduate School. It would behoove those directors to at least be fair to the decent Germans who brutally suffered and miserably died after World War II.

Here is another bold lie from *Fury*:

**"It is April 1945...In desperation Hitler declares total war, mobilizing every man, woman and child..."**

What we are being told somewhat implicitly here is the Jewish version of World War II: Every man, woman and child is responsible for Nazi Germany. Daniel Jonah Goldhagen ridiculously argues exactly that in his demonstrably fraudulent book *Hitler's Willing Executioners*.<sup>[1]</sup> It is no surprise, then, that the Allied sexually humiliated and brutally raped virtually every man, woman and child they encountered shortly after the war.



**In this March 18, 2015 photo Klaus Schmitz, a son of a United States WWII soldier, talks during an interview with The Associated Press about his father and his life in post WWII Germany at the Allied Museum in Berlin, Germany. (AP Photo/Markus Schreiber)**

Hollywood has been brainwashing people for far too long, and it is high time that we turn the floor to historian Thomas Goodrich, a gentleman whose book *Hellstorm* has been meticulously detailed, researched and documented.

**You see, Hollywood will never tell us what happened to the poor German civilians after the Allied invaded**

Germany because that would literally destroy the "Holocaust" project.



**Hellstorm by [Thomas Goodrich](#)**

**And so, with the once mighty German Army now disarmed and enslaved in May, 1945, and with their leaders either dead or awaiting trial for so-called "war crimes," the old men, women and children who remained in the dismembered Reich found themselves utterly at the mercy of the victors. Unfortunately for these survivors, never in the history of the world was mercy in shorter supply.**

Goodrich dissects the issue like a good surgeon. If readers would like to get angry, then it is almost certain that Goodrich is going to get them very angry.

Furthermore, if you used to call the Allied forces "the good guys," then you probably will change your mind after you sift through the following article, which is just a taste of what the Germans actually went through. We all hope that you will get a glimpse of the other side of the Allied forces. Goodrich was kind enough to send me a copy of his book in the fall of last year. He also has been generous to send me this trenchant article, which is taken from his book. No praise can be too high for *Hellstorm*.

As I was ready to publish this article, the [Associated Press](#) came out with an article which seems to vindicate what Goodrich has written:

"When Paul Schmitz was a little boy, he never understood why kids in his tiny German village taunted him as a 'Yank' and beat him up. He was a teenager by the time he found out: His father was an American soldier his mother had a romance with in the final days of World War II.

"Schmitz was born about five months after Victory in Europe Day, when the Allied forces defeated Nazi Germany 70 years ago Friday. It would be the start of a life as an outsider, burdened by fear, discrimination and loneliness. He is one of at least 250,000 children of German mothers who got pregnant by Allied soldiers from the United States, Great Britain, France or the Soviet Union as the Third Reich crumbled."

"Now many of those children have embarked on quests to find their fathers. 'I was a child of shame, a child of the enemy, even though it was the Americans who liberated us,' says Schmitz, a shy 69-year-old with a friendly round face. 'All my life I had a yearning for my father, but until recently I was too afraid to actively search for him.'

"Schmitz decided to start looking for his dad 10 years ago, among hundreds, perhaps thousands, of Germans who have launched searches for their Allied soldier fathers in recent years...Schmitz talks haltingly about his difficult life as a fatherless boy in post-war Germany. His eyes well up recalling the hardships he faced in a conservative rural area close to the Belgian border."

Can you think of a single museum dedicated to people like Schmitz? If yes, then the Dreadful Few are making some progress. As Ilya Ehrenburg would have put it, the only good German is a dead German.

If you think that Schmitz is just an isolated case or that it was wrong for the Allied forces to literally humiliate the Germans, then read the following article very carefully.

Soon after the Allied victory in Europe, the purge of Nazi Party members from government, business, industry, science, education, and all other walks of German life commenced.

While a surprising number of Nazis were allowed—even compelled—to man their posts temporarily to enable a smooth transition, all party members, high and low, were sooner or later excised from German daily life.

In theory, "de-Nazification" was a simple transplanting of Nazi officials with those of democratic, socialist or communist underpinnings. In practice, the purge became little more than a cloak for an orgy of rape, torture and death.

Because their knowledge of the language and culture was superb, most of the intelligence officers accompanying US and British forces into the Reich were Jewish refugees who had fled Germany in the late 1930s. Although their American and English "aides" were hardly better, the fact that many of these "39ers" became interrogators, examiners and screeners, with old scores to settle, insured that Nazis— or any German, for that matter—would be shown no mercy.

One man opposed to the vengeance-minded program was George Patton.

"Evidently the virus started by Morgenthau and [Bernard] Baruch of a Semitic revenge against all Germans is still working ... ," wrote the general in private. "I am frankly opposed to this war-criminal stuff. It is not cricket and it is Semitic....I can't see how Americans can sink so low."

Soon after occupation, all adult Germans were compelled to register at the nearest Allied headquarters and complete a lengthy questionnaire on their past activities. While many nervous citizens were detained then and there, most returned home, convinced that at long last the terrible ordeal was over. For millions, however, the trial had but begun.

"Then it started," remembered Anna Fest, a woman who had registered with the Americans six weeks earlier.

*Such a feeling of helplessness, when three or four heavily armed military police stand in front of you. You just panic. I cried terribly. My mother was completely beside herself and said, "You can't do this. She registered just as she was supposed to."*

*Then she said, "If only you'd gone somewhere else and had hidden." But I consider that senseless, because I did not feel guilty. . . . That was the way it went with everyone, with no reason given.*

Few German adults, Nazi or not, escaped the dreaded knock on the door. Far from being dangerous fascists, Freddy and Lali Horstmann were actually well-known anti-Nazis. Records Lali from the Russian Zone:

*"I am sorry to bother you," he began, "but I am simply carrying out my orders. Until when did you work for the Foreign Office?" "Till 1933," my husband answered.*

*"Then you need fear nothing," Androff said.... "We accuse you of nothing, but we want you to accompany us to the headquarters of the NKVD, the secret police, so that we can take down what you said in a protocol, and ask you a few questions about the working of the Foreign Office... ."*

*We were stunned for a moment; then I started forward, asking if I could come along with them. "Impossible," the interpreter smiled. My heart raced. Would Freddy answer satisfactorily? Could he stand the excitement? What sort of accommodation would they give him?*

*"Don't worry, your husband has nothing to fear," Androff continued. "He will have a heated room. Give him a blanket for the night, but quickly, we must leave. . . ."*

*There was a feeling of sharp tension, putting the soldier on his guard, as though he were expecting an attack from one of us. I took first the soldier, then the interpreter, by their hands and begged them to be kind to Freddy, repeating myself in the bustle and scraping of feet that drowned my words. There was a banging of doors. A cold wind blew in. I felt Freddy kiss me. I never saw him again.*

"[W]e were awakened by the sound of tires screeching, engines stopping abruptly, orders yelled, general din, and a hammering on the window shutters. Then the intruders broke through the door, and we saw Americans with rifles who stood in front of our bed and shone lights at us. None of them spoke German, but their gestures said: 'Get dressed, come with us immediately.' This was my fourth arrest."

So wrote Leni Riefenstahl, a talented young woman who was perhaps the world's greatest film-maker. Because her epic documentaries— *Triumph of the Will* and *Olympia*—seemed paeans to not only Germany, but National Socialism, and because of her close relationship with an admiring Adolf Hitler, Leni was of more than passing interest to the Allies. Though false, rumors also hinted that the attractive, sometimes-actress was also a "mistress of the devil"—that she and Hitler were lovers.

"Neither my husband nor my mother nor any of my three assistants had ever joined the Nazi Party, nor had any of us been politically active," said the confused young woman. "No charges had ever been filed against us, yet we were at the mercy of the [Allies] and had no legal protection of any kind."

Soon after Leni's fourth arrest, came a fifth.

*The jeep raced along the autobahns until, a few hours later ...I was brought to the Salzburg Prison; there an elderly prison matron rudely pushed me into the cell, kicking me so hard that I fell to the ground; then the door was locked.*

*There were two other women in the dark, barren room, and one of them, on her knees, slid about the floor, jabbering confusedly; then she began to scream, her limbs writhing hysterically. She seemed to have lost her mind. The other woman crouched on her bunk, weeping to herself.*

As Leni and others quickly discovered, the "softening up" process began soon after arrival at an Allied prison. When Ernst von Salomon, his Jewish girl friend and fellow prisoners reached an American holding pen near Munich, the men were promptly led into a room and brutally beaten by military police.

With his teeth knocked out and blood spurting from his mouth, von Salomon moaned to a gum-chewing officer, "You are no gentlemen." The remark brought only a roar of laughter from the attackers. "No, no, no!" the GIs grinned. "We are Mississippi boys!" In another room, military policemen raped the women at will while leering soldiers watched from windows.

After such savage treatment, the feelings of despair only intensified once the captives were crammed into cells.

"The people had been standing there for three days, waiting to be interrogated," remembered a German physician ordered to treat prisoners in the Soviet Zone.

"At the sight of us a pandemonium broke out which left me helpless.... As far as I could gather, the usual senseless questions were being reiterated:

"Why were they there, and for how long? They had no water and hardly anything to eat. They wanted to be let out more often than once a day.... A great many of them have dysentery so badly that they can no longer get up."

"Young Poles made fun of us," said a woman from her cell in the same zone. "[They] threw bricks through the windows, paperbags with sand, and skins of hares filled with excrement. We did not dare to move or offer resistance, but huddled together in the farthest corner, in order not to be hit, which could not always be avoided. . . . [W]e were never free from torments."

"For hours on end I rolled about on my bed, trying to forget my surroundings," recalled Leni Riefenstahl, "but it was impossible."





**Leni Riefenstahl**

*The mentally disturbed woman kept screaming—all through the night; but even worse were the yells and shrieks of men from the courtyard, men who were being beaten, screaming like animals. I subsequently found out that a company of SS men was being interrogated.*

*They came for me the next morning, and I was taken to a padded cell where I had to strip naked, and a woman examined every square inch of my body. Then I had to get dressed and go down to the courtyard, where many men were standing, apparently prisoners, and I was the only woman. We had to line up before an American guard who spoke German. The prisoners stood to attention, so I tried to do the same, and then an American came who spoke fluent German. He pushed a few people together, then halted at the first in our line.*

*"Were you in the Party?"*

*The prisoner hesitated for a moment, then said: Yes." He was slugged in the face and spat blood.*

*The American went on to the next in line.*

*"Were you in the Party?"*

*The man hesitated.*

*"Yes or no?"*

*"Yes."*

*And he too got punched so hard in the face that the blood ran out of his mouth. However, like the first man, he didn't dare resist. They didn't even instinctively raise their hands to protect themselves. They did nothing. They put up with the blows like dogs.*

*The next man was asked: "Were you in the Party?"*

*Silence.*

*"Well?"*

*"No," he yelled, so no punch. From then on nobody admitted that he had been in the Party and I was not even asked.*

*As the above case illustrated, there often was no rhyme or reason to the examinations; all seemed designed to force from the victim what the inquisitor wanted to hear, whether true or false. Additionally, most such "interrogations" were structured to inflict as much pain and suffering as possible. Explained one prisoner:*

*The purpose of these interrogations is not to worm out of the people what they knew—which would be uninteresting anyway—but to extort from them special statements.*

*The methods resorted to are extremely primitive; people are beaten up until they confess to having been members of the Nazi Party.... The authorities simply assume that, basically, everybody has belonged to the Party.*

*Many people die during and after these interrogations, while others, who admit at once their party membership, are treated more leniently.*

*"A young commissar, who was a great hater of the Germans, cross-examined me...," said Gertrude Schulz. "When he put the question: 'Frauenwerk [Women's Labor Service]?' I answered in the negative. Thereupon he became so enraged, that he beat me with a stick, until I was black and blue. I received about 15 blows ... on my left upper arm, on my back and on my thigh. I collapsed and, as in the case of the first cross-examination, I had to sign the questionnaire."*

#### **Kriegsverbrechen gegen Deutsche**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?t=69&v=2B7kWIL55b8>

**"Both officers who took our testimony were former German Jews,"** reminisced a member of the women's SS, Anna Fest.

While vicious dogs snarled nearby, one of the officers screamed questions and accusations at Anna. If the answers were not those desired, "he kicked me in the back and the other hit me."

*They kept saying we must have been armed, have had pistols or so. But we had no weapons, none of us....I had no pistol. I couldn't say, just so they'd leave me in peace, yes, we had pistols. The same thing would happen to the next person to testify....*

*[T]he terrible thing was, the German men had to watch. That was a horrible, horrible experience.... That must have been terrible for them. When I went outside, several of them stood there with tears running down their cheeks. What could they have done? They could do nothing.*

Not surprisingly, with beatings, rape, torture, and death facing them, few victims failed to "confess" and most gladly inked their name to any scrap of paper shown them. Some, like Anna, tried to resist.

Such recalcitrance was almost always of short duration, however. Generally, after enduring blackened eyes, broken bones, electric shock to breasts—or, in the case of men, smashed testicles—only those who died during torture failed to sign confessions.

Alone, surrounded by sadistic hate, utterly bereft of law, many victims understandably escaped by taking their own lives. Like tiny islands in a vast sea of evil, however, miracles did occur. As he limped painfully back to his prison cell, one Wehrmacht officer reflected on the insults, beatings, and tortures he had endured and contemplated suicide.

*I could not see properly in the semi-darkness and missed my open cell door. A kick in the back and I was sprawling on the floor. As I raised myself I said to myself I could not, should not accept this humiliation. I sat on my bunk.*

*I had hidden a razor blade that would serve to open my veins. Then I looked at the New Testament and found these words in the Gospel of St. John: "Without me ye can do nothing."*

*Yes. You can mangle this poor body—I looked down at the running sores on my legs—but myself, my honor, God's image that is in me, you cannot touch. This body is only a shell, not my real self. Without Him, without the Lord, my Lord, ye can do nothing. New strength seemed to rise in me.*

*I was pondering over what seemed to me a miracle when the heavy lock turned in the cell door. A very young American soldier came in, put his finger to his lips to warn me not to speak. "I saw it," he said. "Here are baked potatoes." He pulled the potatoes out of his pocket and gave them to me, and then went out, locking the door behind him.*

Horrific as de-Nazification was in the British, French and, especially the American Zone, it was nothing compared to what took place in Poland, behind Soviet lines.

In hundreds of concentration camps sponsored by an apparatus called the "Office of State Security," thousands of Germans—male and female, old and young, high and low, Nazi and non-Nazi, SS, Wehrmacht, Volkssturm, Hitler Youth, all—were rounded up and imprisoned.

Staffed and run by Jews, with help from Poles, Czechs, Russians, and other concentration camp survivors, the prisons were little better than torture chambers where dying was a thing to be prolonged, not hastened. While those with blond hair, blue eyes and handsome features were first to go, anyone who spoke German would do.

Moments after arrival, prisoners were made horrifyingly aware of their fate. John Sack, himself a Jew, reports on one camp run by twenty-six-year-old Shlomo Morel:

*"I was at Auschwitz," Shlomo proclaimed, lying to the Germans but, even more, to himself, psyching himself like a fighter the night of the championship, filling himself with hate for the Germans around him.*

*"I was at Auschwitz for six long years, and I swore that if I got out, I'd pay all you Nazis back." His eyes sent spears, but the "Nazis" sent him a look of simple bewilderment. . . . "Now sing the Horst Wessel Song!" No one did, and Shlomo, who carried*

a hard rubber club, hit it against a bed like some judge's gavel. "Sing it, I say!"

"The flags held high . . .," some Germans began.

"Everyone!" Shlomo said.

"The ranks closed tight..."

"I said everyone!"

"Blond!"

Shlomo cried to the blondest, bluest-eyed person there. "I said sing!" He swung his rubber club at the man's golden head and hit it. The man staggered back.

"Our comrades, killed by the Reds and Reactionaries... ."

"Sonofabitch!" Shlomo cried, enraged that the man was defying him by not singing but staggering back. He hit him again, saying, "Sing!"

"Are marching in spirit with us..."

"Louder!"

"Clear the street for the Brown Battalions... ."

"Still louder!" cried Shlomo, hitting another shouting man....

"Millions of hopeful people... ."

"Nazi pigs!"

"Are looking to the swastika... ."

"Schweine!" Shlomo cried. He threw down his rubber club, grabbed a wooden stool, and, a leg in his fist, started beating a German's head. Without thinking, the man raised his arms, and Shlomo, enraged that the man would try to evade his just punishment, cried, "Sonofawhore!" and slammed the stool against the man's chest. The man dropped his arms, and Shlomo started hitting his now undefended head when snap! the leg of the stool split off, and, cursing the German birchwood, he grabbed another stool and hit the German with that. No one was singing now, but Shlomo, shouting, didn't notice.

The other guards called out, "Blond!" "Black!" "Short!" "Tall!" and as each of these terrified people came up, they wielded their clubs upon him. The brawl went on till eleven o'clock, when the sweat-drenched invaders cried, "Pigs! We will fix you up!" and left the Germans alone.

Some were quite fixed.... Shlomo and his subordinates had killed them.

The next night it was more of the same . . . and the next night and the next and the next. Those who survived the "welcoming committees" at this and other camps were flung back into their pens.

"I was put with 30 women into a cell, which was intended to accommodate one person," Gerlinde Winkler recalled.

"The narrow space, into which we were rammed, was unbearable and our legs were all entangled together. . . .

"The women, ill with dysentery, were only allowed to go out once a day, in order to relieve themselves. A bucket without a cover was pushed into the cell with the remark: 'Here you have one, you German sows.' The stink was insupportable, and we were not allowed to open the little window."

"The air in the cells became dense, the smell of the excrement filled it, the heat was like in Calcutta, and the flies made the ceiling black," wrote John Sack.

"I'm choking, the Germans thought, and one even took the community razor blade and, in despair, cut his throat open with it."

When the wretched inmates were at last pried from their hellish tombs, it was only for interrogation. Sack continues:

As many as eight interrogators, almost all Jews, stood around any one German saying, "Were you in the Nazi Party?"

Sometimes a German said, "Yes," and the boys shouted, "Du schwein! You pig!" and beat him and broke his arm, perhaps, before sending him to his cell. . . .

But usually a German said, "No," and the boys ... told him,

"You're lying. You were a Nazi."

"No, I never was."

"You're lying! We know about you!"

"No, I really wasn't—"

"Du lugst! You're lying!" they cried, hitting the obstinate man.

"You better admit it! Or you'll get a longer sentence! Now! Were you in the Nazi Party?"

"No!" the German often said, and the boys had to beat him and beat him until he was really crying, "I was a Nazi! Yes!"

But sometimes a German wouldn't confess. One such hard case was a fifty-year-old....

"Were you in the Party?"

"No, I wasn't in it."

"How many people work for you?"

"In the high season, thirty-five."

"You must have been in the Party," the boy deduced.

He asked for the German's wallet, where he found a fishing license with the stamp of the German Anglers Association. Studying it, he told the German, "It's stamped by the Party."

"It's not," said the German.

He'd lost his left arm in World War I and was using his right arm to gesture with, and, to the boy, he may have seemed to be Heiling Hitler. The boy became violent.

He grabbed the man's collar, hit the man's head against the wall, hit it against it ten times more, threw the man's body onto the floor, and, in his boots, jumped on the man's cringing chest as though jumping rope. A half dozen other interrogators, almost all Jews, pushed the man onto a couch, pulled off his trousers, and hit him with hard rubber clubs and hard rubber hoses full of stones.

The sweat started running down the Jews' arms, and the blood down the man's naked legs.

"Warst du in der Partei?"

"Nein!"

"Warst du in der Partei?"

"Nein!" the German screamed—screamed, till the boys had to go to Shlomo's kitchen for a wooden spoon and to use it to cram some rags in the German's mouth. Then they resumed beating him. . . . The more the man contradicted them, the more they hated him for it.



**Shlomo Morel**

After undergoing similar sessions on a regular basis, the victim was brought back for the eighth time.

By now, the man was half unconscious due to his many concussions, and he wasn't thinking clearly. The boys worked on him with rubber and oak-wood clubs and said, "Do you still say you weren't in the Party?"

"No! I didn't say I wasn't in the Party!"

"You didn't?"

"No!" said the punch drunk man. "I never said it!"

"You were in the Party?"

"Yes!"

The boys stopped beating him. They practically sighed, as if their ordeal were over now. They lit up cigarettes....

"Scram," one said to the German.

The man stood up, and he had his hand on the doorknob when one of the boys impulsively hit the back of his head, and he fell to the floor, unconscious.

"Aufstehen, du Deutsches schwein. Stand up, you German pig," the boys said, kicking him till he stood up and collapsed again. Two boys carried him to his cell and dropped him in a corner....

Of course, the boys would beat up the Germans for "Yes'es as well as "No"s. In Glatz, the Jewish commandant asked a German policeman, "Were you in the Party?"

"Of course! I was obliged to be!"

"Lie down," the commandant said, and six weeks later the boys were still whipping the German's feet.

Some torture sessions lacked even the pretense of an examination. Remembered Eva Reimann:

*My cell door opened. The guard, who, because of the foul smell, held a handkerchief to his nose, cried, "Reimann Eva! Come!" I was led to a first-floor room.*

*He shouted at me, "Take off your shoes!" I took them off. "Lie down!" I lay down. He took a thick bamboo stick, and he beat the soles of my feet. I screamed, since the pain was very great. . . .*

*The stick whistled down on me. A blow on my mouth tore my lower lip, and my teeth started bleeding violently. He beat my feet again. The pain was unbearable....*

*The door opened suddenly, and, smiling obligingly, a cigarette in his mouth, in came the chief of the Office, named Sternnagel. In faultless German he asked me, "What's wrong here? Why do you let yourself be beaten? You just have to sign this document. Or should we jam your fingers in the door, until the bones are broad. . . ?*

*A man picked me up by the ankles, raised me eight inches above the floor, and let me fall. My hands were tied, and my head hit hard. . . . I lay in a bloody puddle.*

*Someone cried, "Stand up!" I tried to, and, with unspeakable pain, I succeeded. A man with a pistol came, held it to my left temple, and said, "Will you now confess?" I told him, "Please shoot me." Yes, I hoped to be freed from all his tortures. I begged him, "Please pull the trigger."*

*After barely surviving his "interrogation," one fourteen-year-old was taken to the camp infirmary. "My body was green, but my legs were fire red," the boy said.*

*"My wounds were bound with toilet paper, and I had to change the toilet paper every day. I was in the perfect place to watch what went on....*

*"All the patients were beaten people, and they died everywhere: at their beds, in the washroom, on the toilet. At night, I had to step over the dead as if that were normal to do."*

*When the supply of victims ran low, it was a simple matter to find more. John Sack:*

*One day, a German in pitch-black pants, the SS's color, showed up in Lola's prison. He'd been spotted near the city square by a Pole who'd said, "Fascist! You're wearing black!"*

*At that, the German had bolted off, but the Pole chased him a mile to the Church of Saints Peter and Paul, tackled him by a gold mosaic, hit him, kicked him, and took him to Lola's prison.*

*Some guards, all girls, then seized the incriminating evidence: the man's black pants, pulling them off so aggressively that one of the tendons tore. The man screamed, but the girls said, "Shut up!" and they didn't recognize that the pants were part of a boy scout uniform. The "man" was fourteen years old.*

*The girls decided to torture him [with]. . . . fire. They held down the German boy, put out their cigarettes on him, and, using gasoline, set his curly black hair afire.*

*At the larger prison camps, Germans died by the hundreds daily.*

*"You pigs!" the commandant then cried, and he beat the Germans with their stools, often killing them. At dawn many days, a Jewish guard cried, "Eins! Zwei! Drei! Vier!" and marched the Germans into the woods outside their camp.*

*"Halt! Get your shovels! Dig!" the guard cried, and, when the Germans had dug a big grave, he put a picture of Hitler in. "Now cry!" the guard said. "And sing All the Dogs Are Barking!" and all the Germans moaned,*

*All the dogs are barking,*

*All the dogs are barking,*

*Just the little hot-dogs,*

*Aren't barking at all.*

*The guard then cried, "Get undressed!" and, when the Germans were naked, he beat them, poured liquid manure on them, or, catching a toad, shoved the fat thing down a German's throat, the German soon dying.*

*Utterly unhinged by years of persecution, by the loss of homes and loved ones, for the camp operators, no torture, no sadism, no bestiality, seemed too monstrous to inflict on those now in their power.*

Some Germans were forced to crawl on all fours and eat their own excrement as well as that of others. Many were drowned in open latrines. Hundreds were herded into buildings and burned to death or sealed in caskets and buried alive.

Near Lamsdorf, German women were forced to disinter bodies from a Polish burial site. According to John Sack:

**The women did, and they started to suffer nausea as the bodies, black as the stuff in a gutter, appeared. The faces were rotten, the flesh was glue, but the guards—who had often seemed psychopathic, making a German woman drink urine, drink blood, and eat a man's excrement, inserting an oily five-mark bill in a woman's vagina, putting a match to it—shouted at the women . . . "Lie down with them!"**

**The women did, and the guards shouted, "Hug them!" "Kiss them!" "Make love with them!" and, with their rifles, pushed on the backs of the women's heads until their eyes, noses and mouths were deep in the Polish faces' slime.**

**The women who clamped their lips couldn't scream, and the women who screamed had to taste something vile.**

**Spitting, retching, the women at last stood up, the wet tendrils still on their chins, fingers, clothes, the wet seeping into the fibers, the stink like a mist around them as they marched back to Lamsdorf.**

**There were no showers there, and the corpses had all had typhus, apparently, and sixty-four women . . . died.**

Not surprisingly, the mortality rate at the concentration camps was staggering and relatively few survived. At one prison of eight thousand, a mere 1,500 lived to reach home. And of those "lucky" individuals who did leave with their lives, few could any longer be called human.

When a smattering of accounts began to leak from Poland of the unspeakable crimes being committed, many in the West were stunned.

"One would expect that after the horrors in Nazi concentration camps, nothing like that could ever happen again," muttered one US senator, who then reported on beatings, torture and "brains splashed on the ceiling."

"Is this what our soldiers died for?" echoed a Briton in the House of Commons.

Added Winston Churchill: "Enormous numbers [of Germans] are utterly unaccounted for. It is not impossible that tragedy on a prodigious scale is unfolding itself behind the Iron Curtain."

While Churchill and others in the West were expressing shock and surprise over the sadistic slaughter taking place in the Soviet Zone, precious little was said about the "tragedy on a prodigious scale" that was transpiring in their own backyard.

Among the millions imprisoned by the Allies were thousands of Germans accused of having a direct or indirect hand in war crimes. Because the victorious powers demanded swift and severe punishment, Allied prosecutors were urged to get the most damning indictments in as little time as possible. Unfortunately for the accused, their captors seemed determined to inflict as much pain as possible in the process.

"[W]e were thrown into small cells stark naked," Hans Schmidt later wrote. "The cells in which three or four persons were incarcerated were six and a half by ten feet in size and had no windows or ventilation."

When we went to the lavatory we had to run through a lane of Americans who struck us with straps, brooms, cudgels, buckets, belts, and pistol holders to make us fall down. Our head, eyes, body, belly, and genitals were violently injured.

A man stood inside the lavatory to beat us and spit on us. We returned to our cells through the same ordeal. The temperature in the cells was 140 Fahrenheit or more. During the first three days we were given only one cup of water and a small slice of bread. During the first days we perspired all the time, then perspiration stopped. We were kept standing chained back to back for hours. We suffered terribly from thirst, blood stagnation and mortification of the hands.

From time to time water was poured on the almost red-hot radiators, filling the cells with steam, so that we could hardly breathe. During all this time the cells were in darkness, except



when the American soldiers entered and switched on electric bulbs ... which forced us to close our eyes.

Our thirst became more and more cruel, so that our lips cracked, our tongues were stiff, and we eventually became apathetic, or raved, or collapsed.

After enduring this torture for several days, we were given a small blanket to cover our nakedness, and driven to the courtyard outside. The uneven soil was covered with pebbles and slag and we were again beaten and finally driven back on our smashed and bleeding feet. While out of breath, burning cigarettes were pushed into our mouths, and each of us was forced to eat three or four of them.

Meanwhile the American soldiers continued to hit us on eyes, head, and ears. Back in our cells we were pushed against burning radiators, so that our skin was blistered.

For thirteen days and nights we received the same treatment, tortured by heat and thirst. When we begged for water, our guards mocked us.

When we fainted we were revived by being drenched with cold water. There was dirt everywhere and we were never allowed to wash, our inflamed eyes gave us terrible pain, we fainted continuously.

Every twenty minutes or so our cell doors were opened and the soldiers insulted and hit us. Whenever the doors were opened we had to stand still with our backs to the door. Two plates of food, spiced with salt, pepper, and mustard to make us thirstier, were given us daily. We ate in the dark on the floor. The thirst was the most terrible of all our tortures and we could not sleep.

*In this condition I was brought to trial.*

During the Nazi war crimes trials and hearings, almost any method that would obtain a "confession" was employed. Eager to implicate high-ranking German officers in the Malmedy Massacre, American investigator Harry Thon ordered Wehrmacht sergeant Willi Schafer to write out an incriminating affidavit:

*Next morning Mr. Thon appeared in my cell, read my report, tore it up, swore at me and hit me. After threatening to have me killed unless I wrote what he wanted, he left.*

*A few minutes later the door of my cell opened, a black hood encrusted with blood, was put over my head and face and I was led to another room. In view of Mr. Thon's threat the black cap had a crushing effect on my spirits.... Four men of my company ... accused me, although later they admitted to having borne false testimony. Nevertheless I still refused to incriminate myself.*

*Thereupon Mr. Thon said that if I continued to refuse this would be taken as proof of my Nazi opinions, and . . . my death was certain. He said I would have no chance against four witnesses, and advised me for my own good to make a statement after which I would be set free. . . . I still refused.*

*I told Mr. Thon that although my memory was good, I was unable to recall any of the occurrences he wished me to write about and which to the best of my knowledge had never occurred.*

*Mr. Thon left but returned in a little while with Lieutenant [William] Perl who abused me, and told Mr. Thon that, should I not write what was required within half an hour, I should be left to my fate. Lieutenant Perl made it clear to me that I had the alternative of writing and going free or not writing and dying. I decided for life.*

Another Landser unable to resist the pressure was Joachim Hoffman:

*[W]hen taken for a hearing a black hood was placed over my head. The guards who took me to my hearing often struck or kicked me. I was twice thrown down the stairs and was hurt so much that blood ran out of my mouth and nose.*

*At the hearing, when I told the officers about the ill treatment I had suffered, they only laughed. I was beaten and the black cap pulled over my face whenever I could not answer the questions put to me, or gave answers not pleasing to the officers....I was beaten and several times kicked in the genitals.*

Understandably, after several such sessions, even the strongest submitted and signed papers incriminating themselves and others.

"If you confess you will go free," nineteen-year-old Siegfried Jaenckel was told. "[Y]ou need only to say you had an order from your superiors. But if you won't speak you will be hung." Despite the mental and physical abuse, young Jaenckel held out as long as he could:

**"I was beaten and I heard the cries of the men being tortured in adjoining cells, and whenever I was taken for a hearing I trembled with fear.... Subjected to such duress I eventually gave in, and signed the long statement dictated to me."**

Far from being isolated or extreme cases, such methods of extorting confessions were the rule rather than the exception. Wrote author Freda Utey, who learned of the horror after speaking with American jurist Edward van Roden:

**Beatings and brutal kickings; knocking-out of teeth and breaking of jaws; mock trials; solitary confinement; torture with burning splinters; the use of investigators pretending to be priests; starvation; and promises of acquittal. . . . Judge van Roden said:**

**"All but two of the Germans in the 139 cases we investigated had been kicked in the testicles beyond repair. This was standard operating procedure with our American investigators."**

**He told of one German who had had lighted matchsticks forced under his fingernails by the American investigators to extort a confession, and had appeared at his trial with his fingers still bandaged from the atrocity.**

In addition to testimony given under torture, those who might have spoken in defense of the accused were prevented. Moreover, hired "witnesses" were paid by the Americans to parrot the prosecution's charges.

When criticism such as Utey's and van Roden's surfaced, and even as victims were being hung by the hundreds, those responsible defended their methods.

"We couldn't have made those birds talk otherwise...," laughed one Jewish "interrogator," Colonel A. H. Rosenfeld. "It was a trick, and it worked like a charm."

**Hellstorm - Exposing The Real Genocide of Nazi Germany (Full Documentary)**

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GMCOKNcwHmQ>

[1] For a critical examination of that thesis, see for example Norman Finkelstein and Ruth Bettina Birn, *A Nation on Trial: The Goldhagen Thesis and Historical Truth* (New York: Holt, 1998). No reputable historian takes Goldhagen seriously anymore. Albert S. Lindemann himself declares that Goldhagen's "tirade" is "motivated by goals other than impartial search for the truth." Goldhagen, Lindeman continues to say, presents "outrageous descriptions of anti-Semitic hatred while avoiding analysis or explanation of it, leaving it as mysterious and unrelated to Jewish action. Albert S. Lindemann, *Esau's Tears: Anti-Semitism and the Rise of the Jews* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997), 39, 506-507.

**Jonas E. Alexis**

**Jonas E. Alexis studied mathematics and philosophy as an undergraduate at Palm Beach Atlantic University and has a master's degree in education from Grand Canyon University.**

Some of his main interests include the history of Christianity, U.S. foreign policy, the history of the Israel/Palestine conflict, and the history of ideas. He is the author of the new book , [Christianity & Rabbinic Judaism: A History of Conflict Between Christianity and Rabbinic Judaism from the first Century to the Twenty-first Century.](#)

He is currently teaching mathematics in South Korea. He plays soccer and basketball in his spare time. He is also a cyclist. He is currently writing a book tentatively titled *Zionism and the West*.

Alexis welcomes comments, letters, and queries in order to advance, explain, and expound rational and logical discussion on issues such as the Israel/Palestine conflict, the history of Christianity, and the history of ideas.

In the interest of maintaining a civil forum, Alexis asks that all queries be appropriately respectful and maintain a level of civility. As the saying goes, "iron sharpens iron," and the best way to sharpen one's mind is through constructive criticism, good and bad. However, Alexis has no patience with name-calling and ad hominem attack. He has deliberately ignored many queries

and irrational individuals in the past for this specific reason—and he will continue to abide by this policy.

<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2015/05/08/good-war-better-peace-how-the-allied-forces-raped-and-sodomized-germany/>

## Human sperm grown in lab for first time, scientists claim

May 10, 2015 - 2:28PM



**Sperm created from embryonic stem cells at Newcastle University in Britain. Photo: Supplied**

**London:** Human sperm cells have been grown in a laboratory for the first time, in a breakthrough that could lead to a treatment for male infertility, scientists claim.

A French firm said it had produced "fully formed" sperm from basic reproduction cells.

The research has not yet been published in a peer-reviewed journal, and experts greeted the news with caution. However, if proven, the technique could offer hope to people who cannot have children.

The Kallistem laboratory in Lyon said its finding paved the way for new methods of treating infertile men.

"At the end of 2014, the company was able to produce fully formed human spermatozoa [sperm cells] in the laboratory setting, using patient testicular biopsies containing only immature germ cells, or spermatogonia," the company said in a statement.

"This research paves the way for innovative therapies to preserve and restore male fertility, a major issue with global impact; **numbers of spermatozoa have declined by 50 per cent over the last 50 years.**"

Joyce Harper, professor of human genetics and embryology at University College London, said: "This is a great move forward - it is really exciting."

However, she added: "They have said that this is still early stages and we've got a long way to go, and that is absolutely correct. There are a number of studies that need to be done."

**"The only way you can check if a sperm is really viable is if it fertilises an egg and goes on and develops into a baby."**

Professor Harper said most infertile men did produce sperm that can be extracted from their reproductive system as part of specialised treatment.

Kallistem's new treatment would be for those who do not produce any mature sperm at all, she added, although, in these

cases, the resulting cells would have to be checked to ensure they are "genetically normal".

Spermatogenesis, the process through which basic reproduction cells - the germ cells - develop into sperm cells, is an extremely complex one. It takes about 72 days in the human body. The scientists said their research could help tens of thousands of men.

Infertility affects at least 10 per cent of couples, and in at least a third of cases it relates to male fertility problems, which are often genetic.

The most common defect is missing regions of male Y chromosomes, which is associated with the production of few or no sperm.

Under the new process, experts would be able to extract reproductive cells from a man's testes and then freeze them until he wishes to father a child, the firm said.

However, Professor Allan Pacey, of the University of Sheffield, urged couples to treat the announcement with caution.

He said: "This is a bold claim to make and we have had our fingers burnt before. Until I see a peer-reviewed scientific publication showing unequivocally that this has been done, I have to remain sceptical."

"Claims like this can often cause heartache for infertile couples who see them as hope only to have their hopes dashed later when it doesn't translate into an available procedure."

Kallistem, which is trying to raise funding for its research, will hold preclinical trials until next year and clinical trials in 2017.

"If it works, this procedure opens great prospects," said Nathalie Rives, the manager of a fertility clinic.

However, like Professor Harper, she warned that adults suffering from a complete lack of sperm might have "genetic anomalies" that could exclude them from the process.

Professor Israel Nisand, co-founder of the European Bioethics Forum, said the procedure was preferable to reproductive cloning.

Previously, lab-created spermatogenesis had been successful only in mice.

Last year, American researchers announced that they had used skin from infertile men to create sperm after the samples were implanted into the testes of mice.

The samples were genetically engineered to assume the properties of embryonic stem cells beforehand.

**Telegraph, London**

<http://www.smh.com.au/technology/sci-tech/human-sperm-grown-in-lab-for-first-time-scientists-claim-20150510-qyv4md.html>

## Snowden says Australia watching its citizens 'all the time,' slams new metadata laws

Published time: May 09, 2015 15:02

NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden accused Australia of undertaking mass surveillance of its citizens and passing laws on the collection of metadata that he says do not protect society from acts of terrorism.

Snowden, addressing the Progress 2015 conference in Melbourne via satellite link, criticized Australia's new metadata laws, which allow the government and intelligence agencies to keep a constant watch on citizens.

"What this means is they are watching everybody all the time," the former NSA contractor-turned-whistleblower said. "They're collecting information and they're just putting it in buckets that they can then search through not only locally, not only in Australia, but they can then share this with foreign intelligence services."

Last month, Australia passed controversial laws that require

telecommunications firms to retain their customers' phone and computer metadata for two years.

Snowden decried this disturbing trend, warning that regardless of what you are doing "you're being watched."

He compared Australia's mass surveillance system to that being used in the UK.

"Australia's role in mass surveillance around the world is similar to the UK and the Tempora program," he said.

Snowden, who has been living in Moscow since June 2013 after receiving political asylum, criticized the Australian government's passage of a metadata program that is being used, he said, to "collect everyone's communications in advance of criminal suspicion."

"This is dangerous," he told the conference.

The former system administrator for the CIA said such invasive surveillance technologies had nothing in common with traditional liberal societies.

*"This is not things that governments have ever traditionally been empowered to claim for themselves as authorities.*

*"And to have that change recently ... is a radical departure from the operation of traditional liberal societies around the world."* Snowden repeated his position that acts of terrorism in the US and elsewhere have not been thwarted by conducting mass surveillance on citizens.

*"Nine times out of 10 when you see someone on the news who's engaged in some sort of radical jihadist activity, these are people who had a long record,"* he said.

*"The reason these attacks happened is not because we didn't have enough surveillance, it's because we had too much."*

Aside from average citizens, he warned that journalists are also at risk of having their contacts exposed by the mass surveillance.

*"Under these mandatory metadata laws you can immediately*

*see who journalists are contacting, from which you can derive who their sources are."*

He excoriated such a turn of events, saying the purpose of a free press in society is to *"act as an adversary against the government on behalf of the public."*

Snowden's comments came on the same day that a US federal appeals court ruled the NSA's bulk collection of Americans' telephone records was illegal. In a unanimous decision, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals in New York called the bulk phone records collection *"unprecedented and unwarranted."* The ruling, which Snowden called *"extraordinarily encouraging,"* comes as Congress confronts a June 1 deadline to renew a section of the Patriot Act that allows the NSA's bulk data surveillance.

Meanwhile, Snowden seems determined to reveal more information from the National Security Agency (NSA) files, hinting there was yet more information about Australia's intelligence work that would be revealed at a later date.

<http://rt.com/news/257193-snowden-australia-surveillance-metadata/>

**What's new in the world? There is nothing new under the sun that has not been exposed before. ... However, the latest trend is this politically correct mentality that rests on hurt feelings and stifles open debate on anything contentious. Some label this cultural Marxism gone mad, others label it as the political correct disease. What is clear is that such a mindset is morally and intellectually bankrupt because it refuses to embrace the truth concept as an arbiter in bitter disputes. Think of the Australian Racial Discrimination Act, specifically Section 18C and its effect in stifling open discussions on the veracity of the various contradictory Holocaust narratives that have now been turned into a compulsory school subject. Yes, we**

**are in the Untergang - at least for the time being. Then add the ISIS/ISL Internet coverage of their murderous doings and isn't it strange that servers cannot close it down? My YouTube account was terminated because it offended against "community standards", then when Gordon Duff asked me to come on board his newly created VT association, he noted my account had been terminated, and said he could get it up again - which did happen. But then soon after, after I advised Gordon of not wishing to come on board the VT team, it was again terminated. Now Jim Fetzer has fallen foul of Gordon Duff.**

## Has it finally happened?

**Fredrick Töben surmises ...**

Some discerning thinkers of the Internet social media scene predicted that *Veterans Today's* senior editor, Gordon Duff, would come unstuck sooner or later. He would be telling the truth for some years, then begin to lie and deceive and reveal his true role in the alternate-social media's propaganda war that seeks to expose official conspiracy theories.



**On 21 January 2011 while on a US visit I called in at Gordon Duff's home and stayed the night.**

**Gordon Duff:  
Covering for JADE HELM and the Boston bombing  
(UPDATED!)**


**Created: Wednesday, 29 April 2015 22:03**

**By [Jim Fetzer](#)**

As a journalist and editor for [Veterans Today](#), the Senior Editor of which is Gordon Duff, I was astonished when he trashed an article by another former Marine, Robert O'Dowd, by adding an "Editor's Note" claiming that what O'Dowd had to say was uninformed, unsourced and misleading, since he (Gordon Duff) had "checked with his sources" and they all told him that JADE HELM was an innocuous "training op".

After doing my own research, I published an article about it, ["JADE HELM is NOT a 'normal training drill'"](#), where I was not

surprised that he added another "Editor's Note"--this time twice as long and even more venomous--trashing my article, too. After it received 600 reads in the first hour (which hinted it would become #1), he took it down. The next day, he tossed me out of [Veterans Today](#).



**I have managed to recapture the article, which I have now republished at [jamesfetzer.blogspot.com](http://jamesfetzer.blogspot.com)** which you can access here. Ask yourself why anyone in that position would have attacked this article, especially from a writer for VT who has published 150 articles on JFK, 9/11, Wellstone, Sandy Hook, the Boston bombing and Fukushima. Ask what could possibly be going on with Gordon Duff. *Why would he have trashed this article?*


### **The Plot Thickens**

I had noticed almost immediately that two of my other articles were missing, having "disappeared" over night after Gordon took me out of Veterans Today. One of them was on the Boston bombing, entitled *"Faking the Boston bombing: How it was*



done", which followed up on Nathan Folks' observation that it had been done using (what is known as) "hyper-realistic" filming. So I embedded a sensational two-and-a-half hour program aired on "Caravan to Midnight", which John B. Wells has regarded as important enough to make public as a YouTube: **"Caravan to Midnight", Episode 232, "Deconstructing the Boston bombing"**

I was therefore astonished to discover that not just one but *my four most recent and important articles about the Boston bombing--none of which has anything remotely to do with JADE HELM--had also been "disappeared"*. So I have written to John Allen, the General Manager of [Veterans Today](#), the following email explaining that, if this is not a crime in itself, it at least appears to involved [Veterans Today](#) in covering up a crime, as follows:



### Covering up the Boston bombing

Since I have now asked Gordon Duff a half-dozen times about "Faking the Boston bombing: How it was done", and had no reply, I am convinced he is responsible, which means he is not only covering for JADE HELM but also for the Boston bombing. This man appears to me to embody corruption. The day before he sacked me from Veterans Today, by coincidence, I did a "False Flag Weekly News" about JADE HELM, which includes around 20 reference that anyone can verify for themselves:



To listen to **"False Flag Weekly News" addressing JADE HELM**, click [here](#).

And most recently--just this past Monday, 27 April 2015--**"The Real Deal"** was with Nathan Folks on the Boston bombing for the first segment and, for the second, on JADE HELM with my producer, Chance George, who has been following this extremely closely. As you may notice, the meeting held with a representative of the military in a small town in Texas, which Gordon Duff has been touting, instead raised more questions than it answered:

**"The Real Deal" Ep. #48 (Nathan Folks on the Boston bombing/Chance George on JADE HELM)**

That the situation has come to this grieves me. I began writing for Veterans Today when Gordon invited me to join in 2011. I have believed in Gordon and thought he was a serious and professional journalist. I am dismayed at the turn of events, but reason and rationality require that, with the acquisition of new

evidence and alternative hypotheses, we may have to reject hypotheses previously accepted, to accept hypotheses previously rejected and leave others in suspense. As the evidence I have presented explains, I no longer believe in Gordon Duff.

### Targeting Talk Show Hosts

I was scheduled to appear with Jeff Rense on Friday to discuss JADE HELM. When I linked with the station, the producer explained that he was having trouble getting in touch with Jeff. I hung around for 45 minutes in the hope that he might make contact and, when I reluctantly concluded it wasn't going to happen, I sent an email saying that I hoped he was OK. The next morning I received a reply from his girlfriend, telling me that he had been in a serious automobile accident and giving me the number of the hospital treating him.

### Jeff Rense survives car crash.

April 27, 2015 In News by Sted

Like 11 | +1 1 | Tweet 3 | Share

Source: The Tap Blog | posted by Tapestry

author: Harry Cooper | Sharkhunters  
Mon 5:29 am UTC, 27 Apr 2015



**"TRAGEDY AVERTED!"** -- Over this week-end, Sharkhunters LIFE Member and our good friend JEFF RENSE was involved in a disastrous car wreck. His car was destroyed and he was unconscious for more than two hours then in ICU (Intensive Care Unit) for quite a while. Thanks to his seat belt and air bags and definitely thanks to God -- he is recovering at home with a few broken bones and a hell of a knot on his head along with internal bruising of the brain. I spoke with JEFF a couple hours ago and aside from being pretty exhausted, he is in fine spirits.....and again we say thanks to God! This could have been a lot worse! We will not go into any details here as we assume that he will publish something on [www.rense.com](http://www.rense.com) as soon as he is able but tragedy sure has been averted! We wish you a very speedy recovery pal!"

I called later that morning and was informed that he was resting comfortably in ICU. On Monday I learned that he was home with a broken wrist--and he called me that afternoon to invite me on his show (again) to talk about JADE HELM. He told me he had been hit with some kind of psychotronic weapon that rendered him complete unconscious and his car went off the road into a rather substantial ditch. It was totaled--actually, a complete wreck--and the police described it as "an unsurvivable accident". It looks like no accident to me.

At the end of our conversation on the air, [I observed that Stew Webb, with whom I had done a show about VT and Gordon Duff Thursday night, had been run off the road on Saturday, which further confirms my believe that things are getting hot because JADE HELM is "the real deal"](#). I wish I could deny it, but Gordon has boasted to me in the past that he could have people taken out with a few phone calls. I don't like to entertain the idea, but if I am right about JADE HELM, then this may be the game that is being played for "all the marbles".

### JADE HELM is NOT "an ordinary training drill"

Created: Monday, 27 April 2015 22:12 |

BY [JIM FETZER](#)

Read on at:

<http://vtnradio.com/hosts-articles/21-jim-fetzer/26-gordon-duff-covering-for-jade-helm-and-the-boston-bombing>